

EXISTENCE RESULTS AND BLOW-UP CRITERION OF COMPRESSIBLE RADIATION HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

YACHUN LI AND SHENGGUO ZHU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the 3D compressible radiation hydrodynamic (RHD) equations with thermal conductivity in a bounded domain. The existence of unique local strong solutions with vacuum is firstly established when the initial data are arbitrarily large and satisfy some initial layer compatibility condition. Moreover, we show that if the initial vacuum domain is not so irregular, then the compatibility condition is necessary and sufficient to guarantee the existence of the unique strong solution. Finally, a Beal-Kato-Majda type blow-up criterion is shown in terms of $(\nabla I, \rho, \theta)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of our paper is to provide a local theory of strong solutions with vacuum to the RHD system in the framework of Sobolev space. This system appears in various astrophysical contexts [20] and high-temperature plasma physics [19]. Suppose that the matter is in local thermodynamical equilibrium, the coupled system of the RHD equations with heat conduction for the mass density $\rho(t, x)$, the fluid velocity $u(t, x) = (u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(3)})$, the specific internal energy $e(t, x)$, and the specific radiation intensity $I(v, \Omega, t, x)$ in a domain $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ reads as [26]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c} I_t + \Omega \cdot \nabla I = A_r, \\ \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \left(\rho u + \frac{1}{c^2} F_r \right)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u + P_r) + \nabla P_m = \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}, \\ (\rho E_m + E_r)_t + \operatorname{div}((\rho E_m + P_m)u + F_r) = \operatorname{div}(u \mathbb{T}) + \kappa \Delta \theta. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

In this system, $t \geq 0$ is the time; $x \in \mathbb{V}$ is the spatial coordinate; $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the frequency of photon; $\Omega \in S^2$ is the travel direction of photon, here S^2 is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3$; $E_m = \frac{1}{2}u^2 + e$ is the specific total material energy; P_m is the material pressure satisfying the following equations of state

$$P_m = R\rho\theta = (\gamma - 1)\rho e, \quad e = c_v\theta, \quad (1.2)$$

Date: May. 14, 2012.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35A09, 35B44, 35M31; Secondary: 35Q31, 35Q35.

Key words and phrases. Radiation, Navier-slip, Strong solution, Vacuum, Blow-up criterion.

where R and c_v are both positive constants, $\gamma > 1$ is the adiabatic index and θ is the absolute temperature. $\mathbb{T}$ is the viscosity stress tensor given by

$$\mathbb{T} = 2\mu D(u) + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \mathbb{I}_3, \quad D(u) = \frac{\nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top}{2}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $D(u)$ is the deformation tensor, $\mathbb{I}_3$ is the 3×3 unit matrix, μ is the shear viscosity, $\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu$ is the bulk viscosity, κ is the thermal conductivity, (μ, λ, κ) are constants satisfying

$$\mu > 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0, \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The radiation energy density E_r , the radiation flux F_r , and the radiation pressure tensor P_r in (1.1) are defined by

$$\begin{cases} E_r = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} I(v, \Omega, t, x) d\Omega dv, \\ F_r = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} I(v, \Omega, t, x) \Omega d\Omega dv, \\ P_r = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} I(v, \Omega, t, x) \Omega \otimes \Omega d\Omega dv. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The collision term in radiation transfer equation (1.1)₁ reads:

$$A_r = S - \sigma_a I + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s I' - \sigma'_s I \right) d\Omega' dv', \quad (1.6)$$

where $I' = I(v', \Omega', t, x)$; $S = S(v, t, x) \geq 0$ is the rate of energy emission due to spontaneous process; $\sigma_a = \sigma_a(v, t, x, \rho, \theta) \geq 0$ denotes the absorption coefficient that may also depend on the mass density ρ and the temperature θ of the matter; σ_s is the “differential scattering coefficient” such that the probability of a photon being scattered from v' to v contained in dv , from Ω' to Ω contained in $d\Omega$, and travelling a distance ds is given by $\sigma_s(v' \rightarrow v, \Omega' \cdot \Omega) dv d\Omega ds$, and

$$\sigma_s \equiv \sigma_s(v' \rightarrow v, \Omega' \cdot \Omega, \rho) = O(\rho), \quad \sigma'_s \equiv \sigma_s(v \rightarrow v', \Omega \cdot \Omega', \rho) = O(\rho).$$

When there is no radiation effect, the existence of unique local strong solutions with vacuum has been solved by many papers, and we refer the readers to [6][7]. For the existence of solutions with arbitrary data in 3D space, the main breakthrough is due to Lions [23], where he established the global existence of weak solutions for $\mathbb{R}^3$, periodic domains or bounded domains with homogenous Dirichlet boundary conditions provided $\gamma > 9/5$. The restriction on γ is improved to $\gamma > 3/2$ by Feireisl [11]. Recently, Huang-Li-Xin obtained the global well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to Cauchy problem [16] for isentropic flow with small energy.

In general, studying the radiation hydrodynamic equations is challenging because of its complexity and mathematical difficulty. For Euler-Boltzmann equations, recently, Jiang-Zhong [19] obtained the local existence of C^1 solutions for the Cauchy problem away from vacuum. Jiang-Wang [18] showed that some C^1 solutions will blow up in finite time, regardless of the size of the initial disturbance. Li-Zhu [21] established the local existence of Makino-Ukai-Kawashima type’s regular solution (see [24]) with vacuum, and also proved that the regular solutions with compact density will blow up in finite time.

For Navier-Stokes-Boltzmann equations, Ducomet-Nečasová [9][10] studied the global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Boltzmann equations and its large time behavior in

1-D space. Li-Zhu [22] considered the formation of singularities to classical solutions with compact density. Some special phenomenon has been observed, for example, it is known in contrast with the second law of thermodynamics, the associated entropy equation may contain a negative production term for RHD system (see also Buet-Després [5]). Moreover, from Ducomet-Feireisl-Nečasová [8], in which they obtained the existence of global weak solution for some RHD model, we know that the velocity field u may develop uncontrolled time oscillations on vacuum zones.

However, in this paper, due to the radiation transfer equation (1.1)₁, (1.2) and (1.5)-(1.6), system (1.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c}I_t + \Omega \cdot \nabla I = A_r, \\ \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P_m + Lu = -\frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega d\Omega dv, \\ (\rho \theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \theta u) + \frac{1}{c_v}(P_m \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \Delta \theta) = \frac{1}{c_v}(Q(u) + N_r), \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where N_r , Lu and $Q(u)$ are defined by

$$\begin{cases} N_r = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) A_r d\Omega dv, \\ Lu = -\mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u, \quad Q(u) = \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + (\nabla u)^\top|^2 + \lambda |\operatorname{div} u|^2. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the initial-boundary value problem (IBVP) for system (1.7) with initial data

$$I|_{t=0} = I_0(v, \Omega, x), \quad (\rho, u, \theta)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), \theta_0(x)), \quad (v, \Omega, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times \mathbb{V}, \quad (1.8)$$

and one of the following two types of boundary conditions:

(1) Transparency, Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions for (I, u, θ) : $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded smooth domain and

$$I|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \Omega \cdot n \leq 0; \quad u|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0; \quad \nabla \theta \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \mathbb{V}$.

(2) Transparency, Navier-slip and Neumann boundary conditions for (I, u, θ) : $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is bounded, simply connected, smooth domain, and

$$I|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \Omega \cdot n \leq 0; \quad (u \cdot n, (\nabla \times u) \cdot n)|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = (0, 0); \quad \nabla \theta \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The first condition in (1.10) is the physical non-penetration boundary condition for radiation flow. While the first part $u \cdot n = 0$ of second one in (1.10) is the non-penetration boundary condition for the fluid, and $(\nabla \times u) \cdot n = 0$ is also known in the following form

$$(D(u) \cdot n)_\tau = k_\tau u_\tau,$$

where k_τ is the corresponding principal curvature of $\mathbb{V}$. Then the second one in (1.10) implies the tangential component of $D(u) \cdot n$ vanishes on flat portions of the boundary $\partial \mathbb{V}$.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the following simplified notations for the standard homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev space:

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,r}} = \|f\|_{W^{m,r}(\mathbb{V})}, \quad \|f\|_s = \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{V})}, \quad |f|_p = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{V})}, \quad \|(f,g)\|_X = \|f\|_X + \|g\|_X,$$

$$D^{k,r} = \{f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{V}) : |\nabla^k f|_r < +\infty\}, \quad D^k = D^{k,2}, \quad |f|_{D^k} = \|f\|_{D^k(\mathbb{V})},$$

$$|f|_{D^{k,r}} = \|f\|_{D^{k,r}(\mathbb{V})}, \quad \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} f(v, \Omega, v', \Omega', t, x) d\Omega' dv' d\Omega dv = \int_{\mathbb{I}} f d\mathbb{I}.$$

A detailed study of homogeneous Sobolev spaces could be found in [13].

Next we make some assumptions for the physical coefficients σ_a and σ_s . First, let

$$\sigma_s = \bar{\sigma}_s(v' \rightarrow v, \Omega' \cdot \Omega, t, x)\rho = \bar{\sigma}_s\rho, \quad \sigma'_s = \bar{\sigma}'_s(v \rightarrow v', \Omega \cdot \Omega', t, x)\rho = \bar{\sigma}'_s\rho,$$

where the functions $\bar{\sigma}_s \geq 0$ and $\bar{\sigma}'_s \geq 0$ are C^1 for $(v', v, \Omega', \Omega, t, x)$, and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 \|(\bar{\sigma}_s, \nabla_{t,x} \bar{\sigma}_s)\|_{C([0,T] \times \mathbb{V})}^2 d\Omega' dv' \right)^{\lambda_1} d\Omega dv \leq \alpha, \\ \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \|(\bar{\sigma}'_s, \nabla_{t,x} \bar{\sigma}'_s)\|_{C([0,T] \times \mathbb{V})}^2 d\Omega' dv' \right)^{\lambda_2} d\Omega dv \leq \alpha, \\ \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \|(\bar{\sigma}'_s, \nabla_{t,x} \bar{\sigma}'_s)\|_{C([0,T] \times \mathbb{V})} d\Omega' dv' \leq \alpha, \\ S(v, t, x)|_{\partial\mathbb{V}} = \bar{\sigma}_s(v' \rightarrow v, \Omega' \cdot \Omega, t, x)|_{\partial\mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \text{when } n \cdot \Omega < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{V}$, where $\lambda_1 = 1$ or $\frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda_2 = 1$ or 2 , and $\alpha > 1$ is a fixed constant.

Second, let

$$\sigma_a = \sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho, \theta)\rho = \sigma\rho,$$

then for $(\rho^i(t, x), \theta^i(t, x))$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|\rho^i(t, x)\|_{C([0,T]; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))} + \|\rho_t^i(t, x)\|_{C([0,T]; L^q(\mathbb{V}))} = \Lambda_1 < +\infty, \\ \|\theta^i(t, x)\|_{C([0,T]; H^2(\mathbb{V}))} + \|\theta_t^i(t, x)\|_{L^2([0,T]; D^1(\mathbb{V}))} = \Lambda_2 < +\infty, \end{cases}$$

where Λ_1 and Λ_2 are both positive constants, we assume that

$$\begin{cases} \|\sigma^i\|_{L^\infty \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0,T] \times \mathbb{V}))} \leq \beta, \\ \|\sigma^i\|_{L^\infty \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; D^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))} \leq M(|\rho^i|_\infty + |\theta^i|_\infty)(|\rho|_{D^{1,q}} + |\theta|_{D^{1,q}} + 1), \\ \|\sigma_t^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} \leq M(|\rho^i|_\infty + |\theta^i|_\infty)(|\rho_t|_2 + |\theta_t|_2 + 1), \\ |\sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho^i, \theta^1) - \sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho^i, \theta^2)| \leq \bar{\sigma}(v, \Omega, t, x)|\theta^1 - \theta^2|, \\ |\sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho_1, \theta^i) - \sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho_2, \theta^i)| \leq \bar{\sigma}(v, \Omega, t, x)|\rho^1 - \rho^2|, \\ \|\bar{\sigma}(v, \Omega, t, x)\|_{L^\infty \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))} \leq M(|(\rho^1, \rho^2)|_\infty + |(\theta^1, \theta^2)|_\infty) \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $r \in [2, q]$, where $\beta > 1$ is a fixed positive constant independent of Λ_i ($i = 1, 2$), $M = M(\cdot)$ denotes a strictly increasing continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[1, \infty)$, and $\sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho^i, \theta^i) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty \cap D^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})))$.

Remark 1.1. *Assumptions (1.11)-(1.12) are similar to those of [19] [21] for the existence theory to Euler-Boltzmann equations. The evaluation of these physical coefficients is a difficult problem of quantum mechanics and their general form is not known. An general expression of σ_a and σ_s used for describing Compton Scattering process can be given as*

$$\sigma_a(v, t, x, \rho, \theta) = D_1 \rho \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{D_2}{\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{v-v_0}{v_0}\right)^2\right), \quad \sigma_s = \bar{\sigma}_s(v \rightarrow v', \Omega \cdot \Omega', t, x) \rho, \quad (1.13)$$

where v_0 is the fixed frequency, $D_i (i = 1, 2)$ are positive constants (see [26]).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Our main results will be shown in Section 2. In Section 3, we give some important lemmas which will be used frequently in our proofs. In Section 4, we prove the existence of the unique local strong solution under the boundary conditions (1.10) via establishing a priori estimate which is independent of the lower bound of ρ_0 . In Section 5, we make a discussion on the necessity and sufficiency of the initial layer compatibility condition. Finally in Section 6, we give the proof for the blow-up criterion that we claimed in Section 2.2.

2. MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Existence results for strong solutions with vacuum.

First, we will give the definition of strong solutions to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) or (1.10).

Definition 2.1 (Strong solutions with vacuum to RHD). *Functions (I, ρ, u, θ) is called a strong solution on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{V}$ to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) or (1.10), if*

- (1) (I, ρ, u, θ) satisfies the system (1.7) a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times (0, T) \times \mathbb{V}$;
- (2) (I, ρ, u, θ) belongs to the following class with some regularities:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = \{ & (I, \rho, u, \theta) | 0 \leq I \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; W^{1,q})), \\ & I_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; L^q)), \quad 0 \leq \rho \in C([0, T]; W^{1,q}), \\ & \rho_t \in C([0, T]; L^q), \quad (\theta, u) \in C([0, T]; H^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; D^{2,q}), \\ & (\theta_t, u_t) \in L^2([0, T]; H^1), \quad (\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t, \sqrt{\rho}u_t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2) \}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

- (3) (I, ρ, u, θ) satisfies the corresponding initial conditions a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times \{t = 0\} \times \mathbb{V}$, and also satisfies the corresponding boundary conditions in the sense of traces.

As has been observed in Navier-Stokes equations [7], the lack of a positive lower bound of ρ_0 should be compensated with some initial layer compatibility conditions on the initial data. Similarly, via denoting $P_m^0 = R\rho_0\theta_0$, and

$$A_r^0 = S(v, 0, x) - \sigma_a(v, \Omega, 0, x, \rho_0, \theta_0)I_0 + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s(0)I'_0 - \sigma'_s(0)I_0 \right) d\Omega' dv',$$

where $\sigma_s(0) = \bar{\sigma}_s(t = 0)\rho_0$ and $\sigma'_s(0) = \bar{\sigma}'_s(t = 0)\rho_0$, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let assumptions (1.11)-(1.12) hold, and*

$$\|S(v, t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; C^1([0, \infty); W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{V})))} < +\infty.$$

- (1) *Assume the initial data $(I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ satisfies the regularity condition*

$$0 \leq I_0(v, \Omega, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}), \quad 0 \leq \rho_0 \in W^{1,q}, \quad u_0 \in H_0^1 \cap H^2, \quad \theta_0 \in H^2, \quad (2.2)$$

and the initial layer compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_0 + \nabla P_m^0 + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r^0 \Omega d\Omega dv &= \rho_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g_1, \\ -\frac{1}{c_v} (k\Delta\theta_0 + Q(u_0)) - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{c_v} \left(1 - \frac{u_0 \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) A_r^0 d\Omega dv &= \rho_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for some $(g_1, g_2) \in L^2$. Then there exists a time T_* and a unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T_*] \times \mathbb{V}$ to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9).

(2) Assume the initial data $(I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ satisfies the regularity condition

$$0 \leq I_0(v, \Omega, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}), \quad 0 \leq \rho_0 \in W^{1,q}, \quad u_0 \in H^2, \quad \theta_0 \in H^2, \quad (2.4)$$

and (2.3). Then there exists a time T_* and a unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T_*] \times \mathbb{V}$ to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.10).

Our second result can be seen as an explanation for the compatibility between (2.2) and (2.3). We denote $\mathbb{V}$ the initial vacuum set, i.e, the interior of the zero-set of the initial density in $\mathbb{V}$. Then when the initial vacuum domain V is not so irregular, we have

Theorem 2.2. *Let conditions supposed in Theorem 2.1 hold. We assume that the initial vacuum only appears in the far field, or V has zero 3-D Lebesgue measure, or the elliptic system*

$$\begin{cases} -\mu\Delta\phi - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div}\phi = 0 & \text{in } V, \\ -\kappa\Delta h - Q(\phi) = 0 & \text{in } V \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

has only zero solution (ϕ, h) in $D_0^1(V) \cap D^2(V)$. Then there exists a unique (local) strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) with the regularity shown in Definition 2.1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(t) - I_0\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})} &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall (v, \Omega) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2, \\ \|\rho(t) - \rho_0\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})} + \|(u(t) - u_0, \theta(t) - \theta_0)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{V})} &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

if and only if initial data $(I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ satisfies the compatibility condition (2.3).

Remark 2.1. *Because (I, ρ, u, θ) only satisfies (1.7)-(1.8) in the sense of distribution, we know*

$$I(v, \Omega, 0, x) = I_0, \quad \rho(0, x) = \rho_0, \quad \rho u(0, x) = \rho_0 u_0, \quad \rho \theta(0, x) = \rho_0 \theta_0.$$

In the vacuum domain V , the relations $u(t = 0, x) = u_0$ and $\theta(t = 0, x) = \theta_0$ maybe not hold. The conclusions obtained in Theorem 2.2 tells us that if the vacuum domain V has a sufficient simple geometry, for instance, the Lipschitz continuous domain, we have $u(t = 0, x) = u_0$ and $\theta(t = 0, x) = \theta_0$ a.e. in V .

2.2. Beal-Kato-Majda Blow-up criterion.

Next we naturally consider that the local strong solutions to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) or (1.10) may cease to exist globally (see [22]), or what is the key point to make sure that the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 could become a global one? The similar question has been studied for the incompressible Euler equation by Beale-Kato-Majda (BKM) in their pioneering work [3], which showed that the L^∞ -bound of vorticity $\nabla \times u$ must blow up. Later, Ponce [25] rephrased the BKM-criterion in terms of the deformation tensor $D(u)$. The same result as [25] has been proved by Huang-Li-Xin [17] for the 3D compressible

isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, which can be shown: if $0 < \bar{T} < +\infty$ is the maximum time for the strong solutions, then

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \bar{T}} \int_0^T |D(u)|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} dt = \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Later on, under the physical assumption (1.4) and $\lambda < 7\mu$, Sun-Wang-Zhang [30] improved this result based on some inequalities in BMO space such that

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \bar{T}} |\rho|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^\infty(\Omega))} = \infty,$$

which has been extended to non-isentropic flow in Wen-Zhu [33].

Our main result in the following theorem shows that the L^∞ norms of (ρ, θ) and L^2 norm of ∇I control the possible blow-up (see [22]) for strong solutions, which means that if a solution of the compressible RHD equations is initially regular and loses its regularity at some later time, then the formation of singularity must be caused by losing the bound of ∇I , ρ or θ as the critical time approaches. We first assume that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_a = \sigma(v, \Omega, \theta)\rho = \sigma\rho, \quad \sigma_\theta = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \theta}, \\ \|(\sigma, \sigma_\theta)(v, \Omega, \theta)\|_{L^2 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{V}))} \leq \beta, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

which is similar to (1.13), and obviously satisfies the assumption (1.12).

Theorem 2.3. *Let (2.2)-(2.3) and (2.8) hold, (μ, λ) satisfy*

$$\mu > 0, \quad 3\lambda + 2\mu \geq 0, \quad \lambda < 3\mu. \quad (2.9)$$

If (I, ρ, u, θ) is a strong solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with boundary condition (1.9), and $0 < \bar{T} < \infty$ is the maximal time of its existence, then we have

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \bar{T}} (|\nabla I|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty[0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} + |(\rho, \theta)|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{V})}) = \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

Remark 2.2. *We introduce the main ideas of our proof for Theorems 2.1-2.3, some of which are inspired by the arguments used in [4][7][14][17][30][33].*

I) In Theorem 2.1, in order to get a a priori estimate which is independent of the lower bound of ρ_0 under boundary condition (1.10), some new arguments have been introduced compared with [7]. First, due to $I = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{V}$ when $n \cdot \Omega \leq 0$, we observe that

$$I_t = 0, \text{ and } \nabla I = (\nabla I \cdot n)n, \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{V} \text{ when } n \cdot \Omega \leq 0,$$

which will be used to deal with boundary terms for the estimate on ∇I . Second, in order to deal with terms $(|\theta_t|_6, |\theta|_6)$ under (1.10), a Poincaré type inequality is introduced as

$$|\theta_t|_6 \leq C(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2 + (1 + |\rho|_2)|\nabla\theta_t|_2).$$

Finally, the Minkowski's inequality (see Section 4.1) and some regularity theory (see Section 3) introduced in [4] for incompressible Euler equations has been applied to the energy estimate for the velocity u of the fluid under the Navier-slip boundary condition.

II) In Theorem 2.3, in order to get a restriction of μ and λ as better as possible, the crucial ingredient to relax the additional restrictions to $\lambda < 3\mu$ has been observed that

$$|\nabla u|^2 = |u|^2 \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 + |\nabla |u||^2 \quad (2.11)$$

for $|u| > 0$, and thus we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq (1 + \phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r)) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx, \quad (2.12)$$

if the following assumption holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^r \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 dx \geq \phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx \quad (2.13)$$

for some positive function $\phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r)$ near $r = 4$. The details can be seen in Lemma 6.2.

III) As it was pointed out in [33], we need to deal with the essential difficulties caused by the nonlinear term $Q(u)$ in (1.1)₄. However, an important fact has been observed that

$$(P_m)_t = (\rho E_m)_t - \frac{1}{2}(\rho |u|^2)_t.$$

Then we have

$$- \int_{\mathbb{V}} (P_m)_t G dx = - \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\rho E_m)_t G dx + \dots = - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbb{T} : \nabla G dx \leq C \|u\| \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla G\|_2 + \dots,$$

where $G = (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u - P_m$ is the effective viscous flux, which plays an important role in our proof for the blow-up criterion.

3. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we give some important lemmas which will be used frequently in our proof. The first one comes from Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Poincaré inequality:

Lemma 3.1. *For $q \in (3, 6]$, there exist constants $C > 0$ (depend on q) and $C_1 > 0$ (depending on $\mathbb{V}$) such that for*

$$f \in D_0^1(\mathbb{V}), \quad g \in D_0^1 \cap D^2(\mathbb{V}), \quad h \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})$$

and $w \in H^1(\mathbb{V})$ with $w \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0$, we have

$$|f|_6 \leq C |f|_{D_0^1}, \quad |g|_\infty \leq C |g|_{D_0^1 \cap D^2}, \quad |h|_\infty \leq C \|h\|_{W^{1,q}}, \quad \|w\|_1 \leq C_1 \|\nabla w\|_2. \quad (3.1)$$

In order to dealing with the Neumann boundary condition for θ , we also need another Poincaré type inequality (see Chapter 8 in [23]):

Lemma 3.2. [23] *There exists a constant C depending only on $\mathbb{V}$ and $|\rho|_r$ ($r \geq 1$) ($\rho \geq 0$ is a real function satisfying $|\rho|_1 > 0$), such that for every $F \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$\rho F \in L^1(\mathbb{V}), \quad \sqrt{\rho} F \in L^2(\mathbb{V}), \quad \nabla F \in L^2(\mathbb{V}),$$

we have

$$|F|_6 \leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} F\|_2 + (1 + |\rho|_2) \|\nabla F\|_2).$$

Next we consider the following homogenous Dirichlet boundary value problem for the Lamé operator L : let $U = (U^1, U^2, U^3)$, $F = (F^1, F^2, F^3)$ and

$$Lu = -\mu \Delta U - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} U = F \text{ in } \Omega, \quad U|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

If $F \in W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{V})$, then there exists a unique weak solution $U \in H_0^1(\mathbb{V})$. We begin with recalling various estimates for this system in $L^l(\mathbb{V})$ spaces, which can be seen in [2].

Lemma 3.3. [2] *Let (1.4) hold and $\mathbb{V}$ be a bounded, smooth domain and $l \in (1, +\infty)$. There exists a constant C depending only on λ, μ, l and $\mathbb{V}$ such that:*

(1) *if $F \in L^l(\mathbb{V})$, then we have*

$$\|U\|_{W^{2,l}} \leq C|F|_l; \quad (3.3)$$

(2) *if $F \in W^{-1,l}(\mathbb{V})$ (i.e., $F = \operatorname{div} f$ with $f = (f_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, $f_{ij} \in L^l(\mathbb{V})$), then we have*

$$\|U\|_{W^{1,l}} \leq C|f|_l. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, if $\Delta U = F$ with $\nabla U(t, x) \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0$, for weak solution $U \in H^1$, we also have

$$\|U\|_{W^{2,l}} \leq C|F|_l, \quad \text{for } F \in L^l(\mathbb{V}). \quad (3.5)$$

In the next lemma, we give the following Beale-Kato-Majda type inequality, which was proved in [15], and will be used later to estimate $|\nabla u|_\infty$ and $|\nabla \rho|_q$.

Lemma 3.4. [15] *Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a bounded smooth domain and $\nabla u \in L^2 \cap D^{1,q}(\mathbb{V})$ with $q \in (3, \infty)$. There exists a constant C depending on q such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{V})} \leq C(|\operatorname{div} u|_\infty + |\nabla \times u|_\infty) \ln(e + |\nabla^2 u|_q) + C|\nabla u|_2 + C. \quad (3.6)$$

The next two lemmas will be used to deal with the Navier-slip boundary conditions.

Lemma 3.5. [4] *Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a bounded smooth domain and $u \in H^s$ be a vector valued function satisfying $u \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0$, where n is the unit outer normal of $\partial \mathbb{V}$, then*

$$\|u\|_s \leq C(\|\operatorname{div} u\|_{s-1} + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{s-1} + \|u\|_{s-1}), \quad (3.7)$$

for $s \geq 1$ and the constant C only depends on s and $\mathbb{V}$.

Lemma 3.6. [32] *Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a bounded smooth domain and $u \in D^1$ be a vector valued function satisfying*

$$u \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad u \times n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0,$$

where n is the unit outer normal of $\partial \mathbb{V}$, then for any $l \in (1, +\infty)$, there exists a constant C only depends on l and $\mathbb{V}$:

$$|\nabla u|_l \leq C(|\operatorname{div} u|_l + |\nabla \times u|_l). \quad (3.8)$$

Finally we show $W^{2,p}$ -estimate for Lamé operator under Navier-slip boundary condition.

Lemma 3.7. [14] *For any simply connected, bounded and smooth domain $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $1 < p < +\infty$, and if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{V}; \mathbb{R}^3)$. If $u \in H^2(\mathbb{V}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is a weak solution of*

$$Lu = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{V}, \quad (u \cdot n, (\nabla \times u) \cdot n)|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = (0, 0), \quad (3.9)$$

then $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{V})$, and there exists $C > 0$ depending on p , $\mathbb{V}$ and L such that

$$|u|_{D^{2,p}} \leq C(|f|_p + |\nabla u|_2). \quad (3.10)$$

4. WELL-POSEDNESS OF STRONG SOLUTIONS

In this section, we always assume that

$$\|S(v, t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; C^1([0, T]; W^{1, q})) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2))} < +\infty.$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove the existence of IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.10). Now we need to consider the following linearized problem:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho w) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{c} I_t + \Omega \cdot \nabla I = \overline{A}_r, \\ (\rho \theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \theta w) + \frac{1}{c_v} (P_m \operatorname{div} w - k \Delta \theta) = \frac{1}{c_v} (Q(w) + \overline{N}_r), \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho w \otimes u) + \nabla P_m + Lu = -\frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \overline{C}_r \Omega d\Omega dv, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where the terms $\overline{A}_r$, $\overline{B}_r$, $\overline{C}_r$ and $\overline{N}_r$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A}_r &= S - \sigma_a(\rho, \phi) I + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s(\rho) \psi - \sigma'_s(\rho) I \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ \overline{B}_r &= S - \sigma_a(\rho, \phi) I + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s(\rho) I' - \sigma'_s(\rho) I \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ \overline{C}_r &= S - \sigma_a(\rho, \theta) I + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s(\rho) I' - \sigma'_s(\rho) I \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ \overline{N}_r &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \overline{B}_r d\Omega dv, \quad \sigma_a(\rho, \phi) = \sigma_a(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho, \phi), \end{aligned}$$

and $w(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a known vector, $(\phi(t, x), \psi(v', \Omega', t, x))$ are known functions. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} (w, \phi, \psi)|_{t=0} &= (u_0, \theta_0, I_0), \\ (w, \phi) &\in C([0, T]; H^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; D^{2, q}), \quad (w_t, \phi_t) \in L^2([0, T]; H^1), \\ 0 \leq \psi &\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; W^{1, q})), \quad \psi_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; L^q)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is bounded, simply connected, smooth domain with (1.10) and

$$\psi|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \Omega \cdot n \leq 0; \quad (w \cdot n, (\nabla \times w) \cdot n)|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = (0, 0); \quad \nabla \phi \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

4.1. A priori estimate to the linearized problem away from vacuum. We immediately have the global existence of a unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) to (4.1)-(4.3) by the standard methods at least for the case that ρ_0 is away from vacuum.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume in addition to (4.2) that $\rho_0 \geq \delta$ for some constant $\delta > 0$ and (2.3)-(2.4). Then there exists a unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{V}$ to IBVP (4.1)-(4.3) with (1.10) and*

$$I \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^q(\mathbb{V}))), \quad \text{and } \rho \geq \underline{\delta}$$

for some constant $\underline{\delta} > 0$.

Proof. First, the existence of a unique solution ρ to $(4.1)_1$ can be obtained by the standard theory of transport equation (see Lemma 6 in [7]), and ρ can be written as

$$\rho(t, x) = \rho_0(U(0; t, x)) \exp \left(- \int_0^t \operatorname{div} w(s, U(s; t, x)) ds \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where $U \in C([0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{V})$ is the solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} U(s; t, x) = w(s, U(s; t, x)), & 0 \leq s \leq T, \\ U(t; t, x) = x, & x \in \mathbb{V}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

So we can get the lower bound of ρ easily.

Second, $(4.1)_2$ can be written into

$$\frac{1}{c} I_t + \Omega \cdot \nabla I + \left(\sigma_a + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \sigma'_s(\rho) d\Omega' dv' \right) I = F(v, \Omega, t, x), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$F = S + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s(\rho) \psi d\Omega' dv' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; W^{1,q})), \quad (4.7)$$

then we easily get the existence and regularity of a unique solution I to (4.6) that

$$I \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; W^{1,q})), \quad I_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; L^q)).$$

According to the classical imbedding theory for Sobolev spaces, we have

$$I \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^q(\mathbb{V}))).$$

Next the radiation transfer equation $(4.1)_2$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{c} I_t + c\Omega \cdot \nabla I + \mathbb{H}I = F, \quad \mathbb{H} = \sigma_a + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \sigma'_s(\rho) d\Omega' dv'. \quad (4.8)$$

We denote by $x(t; x_0)$ the photon path starting from x_0 when $t = \tau$, i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t; \tau, x_0) = c\Omega, \quad x(\tau; \tau, x_0) = x_0.$$

Along the photon path, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(v, \Omega, t, x(t; \tau, x_0)) &= \exp \left(\int_\tau^t -c\mathbb{H}(v, \Omega, s, x(s; \tau, x_0), \rho, \theta) ds \right) \left(I(v, \Omega, \tau, x_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_\tau^t F(v, \Omega, s, x(s; \tau, x_0), \rho, \theta) \exp \left(\int_\tau^s c\mathbb{H}(v, \Omega, l, x(l; \tau, x_0), \rho, \theta) dl \right) ds \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for $x_0 = x - c\Omega(t - \tau)$, which implies that I is nonnegative.

Finally, it is not difficult to solve (θ, u) from the linear parabolic equations

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t + w \cdot \nabla \theta + \frac{R}{c_v} \theta \operatorname{div} w - \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \rho^{-1} \Delta \theta &= \frac{1}{c_v} \rho^{-1} (Q(w) + \overline{N}_r), \\ u_t + w \cdot \nabla u + \rho^{-1} Lu &= -\rho^{-1} \nabla P_m - \frac{1}{c} \rho^{-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \overline{C}_r \Omega d\Omega dv, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

to complete the proof of this lemma. Here we omit the details. $\square$

Now we will give a priori estimates for the solution (I, ρ, u, θ) obtained in Lemma 4.1, which is independent of the lower bound of ρ_0 . We first fix a positive constant c_0 that

$$2 + \|I_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q})} + \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|(\theta_0, u_0)\|_2 + \|(g_1, g_2)\|_2 + \|S(v, t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; C^1([0, T]; W^{1,q})) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2))} \leq c_0,$$

and positive constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T^*]; W^{1,q}))}^2 &\leq c_1^2, \quad \|\psi_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T^*]; L^q))}^2 \leq Cc_2^2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|w(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^{T^*} (|w|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |w|_{D^2}^2 + |w_t|_{D^1}^2) dt &\leq c_2^2, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} |w(t)|_{D^2}^2 \leq c_3^2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\phi(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^{T^*} (|\phi|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |\phi|_{D^2}^2 + |\phi_t|_{D^1}^2) dt &\leq c_4^2, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} |\phi(t)|_{D^2}^2 \leq c_5^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for some time $T^* \in (0, T)$ and constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) such that

$$1 < c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < c_4 < c_5.$$

The constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) and T^* will be determined later and depend only on c_0 and the fixed constants $\alpha, \beta, \kappa, q, R, c_v, \mu, \lambda, c, |\mathbb{V}|$ and T . In subsections 4.1-4.3, $M = M(\cdot) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ still denotes a strictly increasing continuous function, and $C \geq 1$ denotes a generic constant. Both $M(\cdot)$ and C depend only on fixed constants $\alpha, \beta, \kappa, q, R, c_v, \mu, \lambda, c, |\mathbb{V}|$ and T . We start with the estimates for ρ .

Lemma 4.2.

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq Cc_0, \quad |\rho_t(t)|_q \leq Cc_0c_3$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_1 = \min(T^*, (1 + c_3^2)^{-1})$.

Proof. From the standard energy estimate for transport equation and (1.10), we have

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,q}} \exp \left(C \int_0^t \|\nabla w(s)\|_{W^{1,q}} ds \right),$$

where we have used the fact that $w \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0$. Therefore, observing that

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla w(s)\|_{W^{1,q}} ds \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla w(s)\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(c_3 t + c_3 t^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq C,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_1 = \min(T^*, (1 + c_3^2)^{-1})$, then the desired estimate for ρ is available.

For the term ρ_t , from the continuity equation (4.1)₁, we get

$$|\rho_t|_q \leq C(|\rho|_\infty |\nabla w|_q + |w|_\infty |\nabla \rho|_q) \leq Cc_0 \|w\|_2 \leq Cc_0c_3.$$

□

Next we show the estimates for I .

Lemma 4.3.

$$\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; W^{1,q}))}^2 \leq Cc_0^2, \quad \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))}^2 \leq Cc_0c_1,$$

for $T_2 = \min(T^*, (1 + M(c_5)c_5^4)^{-1})$.

Proof. First, multiplying (4.1)₂ by $q|I|^{q-2}I$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, from Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}|I|_q^q + \int_{\partial\mathbb{V}} |I|^q n \cdot \Omega d\nu \leq C|S|_q |I|_q^{q-1} + C|\rho|_\infty |I|_q^{q-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} |\psi|_q |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv', \quad (4.12)$$

where we used the fact $\sigma_a \geq 0$ and $\sigma'_s \geq 0$.

Second, differentiating (4.1)₂ ζ -times ($|\zeta| = 1$) with respect to x , multiplying the resulting equation by $q|\partial_x^\zeta I|^{q-2}\partial_x^\zeta I$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} |\partial_x^\zeta I|_q^q + \int_{\partial\mathbb{V}} |\partial_x^\zeta I|^q n \cdot \Omega d\nu \\ & \leq C \left(|\partial_x^\zeta S|_q + |\sigma_a|_{D^{1,q}} |I|_\infty \right) |\partial_x^\zeta I|_q^{q-1} + C |\nabla \rho|_q |I|_\infty |\partial_x^\zeta I|_q^{q-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv' \\ & \quad + C |\rho|_\infty |\partial_x^\zeta I|_q^{q-1} \left(|I|_q \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\partial_x^\zeta \bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv' + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} |\psi|_q |\partial_x^\zeta \bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv' \right) \\ & \quad + C |\partial_x^\zeta I|_q^{q-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty (|\psi|_{D^{1,q}} |\rho|_\infty + |\psi|_\infty |\nabla \rho|_q) d\Omega' dv', \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where we also used $\sigma_a \geq 0$ and $\sigma'_s \geq 0$. Now considering the boundary term $I_{\partial\mathbb{V}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{V} \cap \{n \cdot \Omega \leq 0\}} \|I\|_{W^{1,q}}^q n \cdot \Omega d\nu$, due to $I = 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{V}$ when $n \cdot \Omega \leq 0$, we obtain that

$$I_t = I = 0, \quad \nabla I = (\nabla I \cdot n)n \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{V}, \text{ when } n \cdot \Omega \leq 0, \quad (4.14)$$

which, together with the assumption (1.11) and (4.1)₂, implies that

$$\Omega \cdot \nabla I = S + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} \bar{\sigma}_s \rho \psi d\Omega' dv' = 0, \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{V} \text{ when } n \cdot \Omega \leq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Then, via (4.14), $I_{\partial\mathbb{V}}$ can be written as

$$I_{\partial\mathbb{V}} = \int_{\partial\mathbb{V} \cap \{n \cdot \Omega \leq 0\}} |\nabla I|^q n \cdot \Omega d\nu = \int_{\partial\mathbb{V} \cap \{n \cdot \Omega \leq 0\}} |\nabla I|^{q-2} [\nabla I \cdot \Omega] [\nabla I \cdot n] d\nu = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Then from (4.12)-(4.14), (4.16) and assumptions (1.11)-(1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|I\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 & \leq C(1 + c_0 \alpha + |\sigma_a|_{D^{1,q}}) \|I\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 + C \|S\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 \\ & \quad + C c_0^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 |\partial_x^\zeta \bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty^2 d\Omega' dv' \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\psi|_q^2 d\Omega' dv' \\ & \quad + C c_0^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty^2 d\Omega' dv' \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 d\Omega' dv' \\ & \leq M(c_5) c_0 c_5 \|I\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 + C \|S\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 + C c_1^4 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 \|\bar{\sigma}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 d\Omega' dv', \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where we have used the fact that

$$|\sigma_a|_{D^{1,q}} \leq (|\rho|_\infty |\nabla \sigma|_q + |\sigma|_\infty |\nabla \rho|_q) \leq M(c_5) c_0 c_5.$$

From Gronwall's inequality and (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(v, \Omega, t, x)\|_{C([0, T_2]; W^{1,q})}^2 & \leq \exp(M(c_5) c_5^2 T_2) \|I_0\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 \\ & \quad + \exp(M(c_5) c_5^2 T_2) \left(\int_0^{T_2} \|S\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 ds + c_1^4 T_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 \|\bar{\sigma}_s\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 d\Omega' dv' \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

for $T_2 = \min(T^*, (1 + M(c_5)c_5^4)^{-1})$. Then integrating above inequality in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2$ with respect to (v, Ω) , via (1.11)-(1.12), we have

$$\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; W^{1, q}))}^2 \leq Cc_0^2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_2.$$

Finally, due to $I_t = -c\Omega \cdot \nabla I + c\bar{A}_r$ and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} \\ & \leq C\|\nabla I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} + C\|\bar{A}_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} \\ & \leq Cc_0 + C\|S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} + Cc_0\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))}\|\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T] \times \mathbb{V}))} \\ & \quad + Cc_0\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty^2 d\Omega' dv' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\Omega dv \\ & \quad + Cc_0\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv' \right)^2 d\Omega dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Cc_0c_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we give the estimate for θ .

Lemma 4.4.

$$\|\theta(t)\|_1^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}\theta(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t |\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t(s)|_2^2 ds \leq Cc_2^7c_3,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_3 = \min(T^*, (1 + M(c_5)c_5^8)^{-1})$.

Proof. Step 1. Multiplying (4.1)₃ by θ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\rho |\theta|^2 |\operatorname{div} w| + |\nabla w|^2 |\theta| + |\bar{N}_r| |\theta| \right) dx \\ & \leq C \left(|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho}\theta|_2 |\nabla w|_3 + |\nabla w|_2 |\nabla w|_3 + |\bar{N}_r|_{6/5} \right) |\theta|_6 \\ & \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_v c_0^2} (|\sqrt{\rho}\theta|_2^2 + c_0^2 |\nabla \theta|_2^2) + Cc_3^5 |\sqrt{\rho}\theta|_2^2 + Cc_3^8, \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where we have used the Poincaré type inequality for θ in Lemma 3.2, and the fact:

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{N}_r|_{6/5} & \leq C|(1 + |w|)\bar{B}_r|_{6/5} \leq C(1 + |w|_\infty) \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|S|_{6/5} + |\sigma|_\infty |\rho|_3 |I|_2) d\Omega dv \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\rho|_3 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty |I'|_2 + |\bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty |I|_2 \right) d\Omega' dv \right) d\Omega dv \right) \\ & \leq C(1 + |w|_\infty) \left(|S|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^{\frac{6}{5}})} + (\alpha + \beta) |\rho|_3 |I|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)} \right) \leq Cc_3^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Then from Gronwall's inequality and (4.19), we have

$$\int_0^t |\nabla \theta|_2^2 ds + |\sqrt{\rho}\theta|_2^2 \leq C(c_0^3 + c_3^8 t) \exp(Cc_3^5 t) \leq Cc_0^3 \tag{4.21}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_3 = \min(T^*, (1 + M(c_5)c_5^8)^{-1})$.

Step 2. Multiplying (4.1)₃ by θ_t and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\kappa}{2c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(C \rho |\theta| |\operatorname{div} w| |\theta_t| + C \rho |w| |\nabla \theta| |\theta_t| + Q(w) \theta_t + \overline{N}_r \theta_t \right) dx \\
& \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\theta|_6 |\nabla w|_3 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \theta|_2 |w|_{\infty} + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(Q(w) \theta_t + \overline{N}_r \theta_t \right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (4.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(Q(w) \theta_t + \overline{N}_r \theta_t \right) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(w) \theta dx - \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(w)_t \theta dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \overline{N}_r \theta_t dx \\
&\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(w) \theta dx + C |\nabla w_t|_2 |\nabla w|_3 |\theta|_6 + \sum_{i=1}^4 R_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

For terms R_1 - R_4 , from Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) S \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&= \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) S_t - \frac{w_t \cdot \Omega}{c} S \right) \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + C |\theta|_6 (1 + |w|_3) \|S_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)} + C |\theta|_6 |w_t|_3 \|S\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2)}, \\
R_2 &= - \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \sigma_a I \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_{S^2} |\sigma|_{\infty} |I|_6 d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C \beta (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^6)} \leq C c_3^{\frac{5}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2, \\
R_3 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \sigma_s I' \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} |\overline{\sigma}_s|_{\infty} |I'|_6 d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C \alpha (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^6)} \leq C c_3^{\frac{5}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2, \\
R_4 &= - \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \sigma'_s I \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |\overline{\sigma}'_s|_{\infty} |I|_6 d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C \alpha (1 + |w|_3) |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^6)} \leq C c_3^{\frac{5}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Combining (4.22)-(4.24), from Lemma 3.2, Young's inequality and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\kappa}{2c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(w) \theta dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv + C |\nabla w_t|_2^2 + C c_3^5 |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C c_3^6. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

It is not hard to see

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(w) \theta dx & \leq C |\theta|_6 |\nabla w|_2 |\nabla w|_3 \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta|_2 + c_0 |\nabla \theta|_2) |\nabla w|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} |\nabla w|_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C (c_0 |\nabla \theta|_2 + c_0^{\frac{3}{2}}) (c_2^2 + c_2^{\frac{3}{2}} c_3^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \frac{\kappa}{4c_v} |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C c_2^5 c_3, \\ \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv & \leq C (1 + |w|_3) |\theta|_6 |S|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2)} \\ & \leq C c_2^2 (c_0 |\nabla \theta|_2 + c_0^{\frac{3}{2}}) \leq \frac{\kappa}{4c_v} |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C c_2^6, \end{aligned}$$

which, along with (4.25) and Gronwall's inequality, implies that

$$|\nabla \theta|_2^2 + \int_0^t |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 ds \leq C (c_2^5 c_3 + c_3^6 t) \exp(c_3^5 t) \leq C c_2^5 c_3,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_3$, which implies that

$$|\theta|_2 \leq C |\theta|_6 \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta|_2 + c_0 |\nabla \theta|_2) \leq C c_2^{\frac{7}{2}} c_3^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.5.

$$|\theta(t)|_{D^2}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|\theta(s)|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |\theta_t(s)|_{D^1}^2) ds \leq C c_3^{12},$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_4 = \min(T^*, (M(c_5) c_5^{21})^{-1})$.

Proof. First differentiating (4.1)₃ with respect to t , we have

$$\rho \theta_{tt} - \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \triangle \theta_t = -\rho_t \theta_t - (\rho w \cdot \nabla \theta)_t - \frac{1}{c_v} \left((P_m \operatorname{div} w)_t - Q(w)_t - (\overline{N}_r)_t \right). \quad (4.26)$$

Multiplying (4.26) by θ_t and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(|\rho_t| |w| |\nabla \theta| + \rho |w_t| |\nabla \theta| + \rho |w| |\nabla \theta_t| + |(P_m)_t| |\operatorname{div} w| \right) |\theta_t| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\rho |\theta| |\operatorname{div} w_t| + |\nabla w| |\nabla w_t| + |\overline{N}_r|_t \right) |\theta_t| dx \equiv: \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i + E_I, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where the radiation source term:

$$E_I = \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\overline{B}_r)_t \theta_t + \left(-\frac{w_t \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \overline{B}_r \theta_t \right) dx d\Omega dv = \sum_{j=1}^8 J_j.$$

Second, we estimate $\sum_{i=1}^6 I_i$. According to Lemmas 3.1-3.2, 4.2-4.4, Hölder's and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C|\rho_t|_3|w|_\infty|\nabla\theta|_2|\theta_t|_6 \leq Cc_3^{16} + \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2), \\
I_2 + I_5 &\leq C|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_6^{\frac{1}{2}}(|w_t|_6|\nabla\theta|_2 + |\nabla w_t|_2|\theta|_6) \\
&\leq Cc_3^{21}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) + C|\nabla w_t|_2^2, \\
I_3 &\leq C|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|w|_\infty|\nabla\theta_t|_2|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2 \leq Cc_3^3|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_v}|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2, \\
I_4 &\leq C|(P_m)_t|_2|\nabla w|_3|\theta_t|_6 \leq C(|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2 + |\rho_t|_3|\theta|_6)|\nabla w|_3|\theta_t|_6 \\
&\leq Cc_3^5|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) + Cc_3^{16}, \\
I_6 &\leq C|\theta_t|_6|\nabla w_t|_2|\nabla w|_3 \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) + Cc_3^4|\nabla w_t|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

For the term E_I . From Hölder's inequality, (1.11)-(1.12) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S_t \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C(1 + |w|_3)|\theta_t|_6 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S_t|_2 d\Omega dv \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) + Cc_2^6, \\
J_2 &= -\frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\sigma_a I)_t \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C(1 + |w|_\infty) \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2|\sigma_t|_2|I|_\infty + |\sigma|_\infty|I|_3|\rho_t|_2|\theta_t|_6) d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + C(1 + |w|_\infty)|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma|_\infty|I_t|_2 d\Omega \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) + C(\epsilon)c_3^{10}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + 1) + \epsilon \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma_t|_2^2 d\Omega dv,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where we used $(\sigma_a)_t = \rho\sigma_t + \rho_t\sigma$ and ϵ is a sufficiently small constant. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\sigma_s I')_t \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C(1 + |w|_\infty) \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} (|\theta_t|_6|(\sigma_s)_t|_2|I'|_3 + |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}}|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2|\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty|I'_t|_2) d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C\alpha^2 c_3^{10} \|I'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 + C\alpha^2 c_3^3 \|I'_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}^2 \\
&\quad + C|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_0^2c_v}(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2) \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_v}|\nabla\theta_t|_2^2 + C(|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + c_3^{12}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} - \left(1 - \frac{w \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\sigma'_s I)_t \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C(1 + |w|_\infty) \int_{\mathbb{I}} (|\theta_t|_6 |(\sigma'_s)_t|_2 |I|_3 + |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 |\overline{\sigma}'_s|_\infty |I_t|_2) d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C \alpha^2 c_3^{10} \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 + C \alpha^2 c_3^3 \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}^2 \\
&\quad + C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20 c_0^2 c_v} (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2 |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2) \leq \frac{\kappa}{20 c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C c_3^{12}, \\
J_5 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{-w_t \cdot \Omega}{c} S \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C |w_t|_3 |\theta_t|_6 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S|_2 d\Omega dv \leq \frac{\kappa}{20 c_0^2 c_v} (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + c_0^2 |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2) + C c_0^4 |w_t|_{D^1}^2, \\
J_6 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{w_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma'_s I \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \leq C |w_t|_6 |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |\overline{\sigma}'_s|_\infty |I|_3 d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C \alpha^2 |w_t|_{D^1}^2 |\rho|_\infty \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C c_0^3 |w_t|_{D^1}^2, \\
J_7 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{w_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma_a I \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C |w_t|_6 |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma|_\infty \|I\|_3 d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C c_0 |w_t|_{D^1}^2 \|\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}^2 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 \\
&\leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C c_0^3 |w_t|_{D^1}^2, \\
J_8 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} - \frac{v}{v'} \frac{w_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma_s I' \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \leq C |w_t|_6 |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} |\overline{\sigma}_s|_\infty |I'|_3 d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C \alpha^2 |w_t|_{D^1}^2 |\rho|_\infty \|I'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C c_0^3 |w_t|_{D^1}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Then combining the above estimates for I_i and J_j , from (4.27) we quickly have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta_t|^2 dx \\
&\leq C(\epsilon) c_3^{21} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + \epsilon \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma_t|_2^2 d\Omega dv + C(\epsilon) (c_3^{16} + c_3^4 |w_t|_{D^1}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Notice that, via the assumption (1.12) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\epsilon \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma_t|_2^2 d\Omega dv \leq \epsilon M(c_5) (|\rho_t|_2^2 + |\theta_t|_2^2) \leq \epsilon M(c_5) (c_2^4 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + c_1^2 |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2),$$

then integrating (4.31) over (τ, t) with $\tau \in (0, t)$, letting ϵ be sufficiently small, we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_\tau^t |\theta_s|_{D^1}^2 ds \leq |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(\tau)|_2^2 + C c_3^{21} \int_\tau^t |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_s|_2^2 ds + C c_3^{16} t + C c_3^6. \tag{4.32}$$

From (4.1)₃, via letting $\Psi = \kappa \triangle \theta + Q(w) + \overline{N}_r$, we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 \leq |\rho|_\infty \|\nabla w\|_1^2 |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\Psi|^2 / \rho dx, \tag{4.33}$$

Via assumptions (1.11)-(1.12), Lemma 4.1, the regularity of $S(v, t, x)$ and Minkowski's inequality, we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\frac{|\Psi(t)|^2}{\rho} - \frac{|\Psi(0)|^2}{\rho_0} \right) dx \\ & \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\Psi(t) - \Psi(0)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\delta \delta} |\rho(t) - \rho_0|_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\Psi(0)|^2 dx \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to the compatibility condition (2.3) and equation (4.1)₃, we have

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(\tau)|_2^2 \leq |\rho_0|_{\infty} \|\nabla w_0\|_1^2 |\nabla \theta_0|_2^2 + |g_1|_2^2 \leq C c_0^5. \quad (4.34)$$

Therefore, letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in (4.32), we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 ds \leq C c_3^{21} \int_0^t |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 ds + C c_3^6 \quad (4.35)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_3$. Then according to Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 ds \leq C c_3^6 \exp(c_3^{21} t) \leq C c_3^6,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_4 = \min(T^*, (M(c_5) c_5^{21})^{-1})$.

The further estimates can be obtained by Lemma 3.3. From

$$-\frac{\kappa}{c_v} \Delta \theta = -\rho \theta_t - \rho w \cdot \nabla \theta + \frac{1}{c_v} (P_m \operatorname{div} w + Q(w) + \overline{N}_r), \quad (4.36)$$

and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$|\theta|_{D^2} \leq C (|\rho \theta_t|_2 + |\rho w \cdot \nabla \theta|_2 + |\rho \theta \operatorname{div} w|_2 + |Q(w)|_2 + |\overline{N}_r|_2) \leq C c_3^6. \quad (4.37)$$

Similarly, for $0 \leq t \leq T_4$, we also have

$$\int_0^t |\theta|_{D^{2,q}}^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t (|\rho \theta_t|_q + |\rho w \cdot \nabla \theta|_q + |P_m \operatorname{div} w|_q + |Q(w)|_q + |\overline{N}_r|_q)^2 ds \leq C c_3^{12}. \quad (4.38)$$

According to $P_m = R \rho \theta$, for $0 \leq t \leq T_4$, we easily obtain that

$$|\nabla P_m|_2 \leq C c_2^{\frac{9}{2}} c_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |\nabla P_m|_q \leq C c_3^7, \quad |(P_m)_t|_2 \leq C c_3^8. \quad (4.39)$$

□

Next we give the estimate for the velocity u .

Lemma 4.6.

$$\|u(t)\|_1^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|u|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |u_t|_{D^1}^2) ds \leq C c_0^7, \quad |u(t)|_{D^2}^2 \leq C c_2^9 c_3,$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_5 = \min(T^*, (M(c_5) c_5^{25})^{-1})$.

Proof. Differentiating (4.1)₄ with respect to t , we have

$$\rho u_{tt} + L u_t = -\rho_t u_t - (\rho w \cdot \nabla u)_t - (\nabla P_m)_t - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (\overline{C}_r)_t \Omega d\Omega dv, \quad (4.40)$$

multiplying (4.40) by u_t and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, via $\Delta u = \nabla \operatorname{div} u - \nabla \times \operatorname{curl} u$, boundary condition (1.10) and Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u_t|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(|\rho_t w \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t| + |\rho w_t \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t| + |\rho w \cdot \nabla u_t \cdot u_t| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |(P_m)_t \operatorname{div} u_t| \right) dx - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (\overline{C}_r)_t u_t \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv \right) dx \equiv: \sum_{i=7}^{10} I_i + E_{II}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where the radiation source term:

$$E_{II} = -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (\overline{C}_r)_t u_t \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv \right) dx = \sum_{j=9}^{12} J_j.$$

First, we estimate $\sum_{i=7}^{10} I_i$. According to Lemma 3.1, 4.2-4.5, Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_7 & \leq C |\rho_t|_3 |w|_\infty |\nabla u|_2 |u_t|_6 \leq C(\epsilon) c_3^6 |\nabla u|_2^2 + \epsilon |\nabla u_t|_2^2, \\ I_8 & \leq C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |w_t|_6 |\nabla u|_3 |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \leq C \frac{1}{\eta} c_0 \|\nabla u\|_1^2 + C \eta |\nabla w_t|_2^2 |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2, \\ I_9 & \leq C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |w|_\infty |\nabla u_t|_2 |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \leq C(\epsilon) c_3^3 |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + \epsilon |\nabla u_t|_2^2, \\ I_{10} & \leq C |(P_m)_t|_2 |\nabla u_t|_2 \leq C(\epsilon) c_3^{16} + \epsilon |\nabla u_t|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ and η are both positive constants. For radiation term E_{II} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_9 & = -\frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} S_t u_t \cdot \Omega dx d\Omega dv \leq C |u_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S_t|_2 d\Omega dv \leq \epsilon |\nabla u_t|_2^2 + C(\epsilon) c_0^2, \\ J_{10} & = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\sigma_a I)_t u_t \cdot \Omega dx d\Omega dv \\ & \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 |\sigma_t|_2 |I|_\infty |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\rho_t|_2 |\sigma|_\infty |I|_3 |u_t|_6 \right) d\Omega dv \\ & \quad + C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma|_\infty |I_t|_2 d\Omega dv \\ & \leq \epsilon |\nabla u_t|_2^2 + C \left(\frac{1}{\eta} c_3^3 + 1 \right) |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + \eta \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma_t|_2^2 d\Omega dv + C(\epsilon) c_3^6, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $(\sigma_a)_t = \rho \sigma_t + \rho_t \sigma$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} J_{11} & = -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{v}{v'} (\sigma_s I')_t u_t \cdot \Omega dx d\mathbb{I} \\ & \leq C |u_t|_6 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |(\sigma_s)_t|_2 |I'|_3 d\mathbb{I} + C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} |\overline{\sigma}_s|_\infty |I'_t|_2 d\mathbb{I} \\ & \leq C(\epsilon) \alpha^2 c_3^4 \|I'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 + C(\epsilon) \alpha^2 c_0 \|I'_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}^2 + \epsilon |u_t|_{D^1}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 \\ & \leq \epsilon |u_t|_{D^1}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C(\epsilon) c_3^6, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{12} &= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\sigma'_s I)_t u_t \cdot \Omega dx d\mathbb{I} \leq C |u_t|_6 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |(\sigma'_s)_t|_2 |I|_3 d\mathbb{I} + C |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |\overline{\sigma}'_s|_{\infty} |I_t|_2 d\mathbb{I} \\
&\leq C(\epsilon) \alpha c_3^4 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}^2 + C \alpha c_0 \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}^2 + \epsilon |u_t|_{D^1}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 \\
&\leq \epsilon |u_t|_{D^1}^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C(\epsilon) c_3^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Then combining estimates for I_i and J_j , letting ϵ be sufficiently small, from (4.41) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u_t|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \\
&\leq C c_3^{16} + C \left(c_3^3 \frac{1}{\eta} + \eta |\nabla w_t|_2^2 + 1 \right) |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + \eta \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma_t|_2^2 d\Omega dv + C \frac{1}{\eta} c_0 \|\nabla u\|_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to prove (4.35), via the compatibility condition (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla u(t)|^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u_t(t)|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u_t|_{D^1}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{\eta} c_0 \int_0^t |u|_{D^2}^2 ds + C \int_0^t \left(c_3^3 \frac{1}{\eta} + \eta |\nabla w_t|_2^2 + 1 \right) (|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_2^2) ds \\
&\quad + M(c_5) \eta \int_0^t (|\theta_t|_2^2 + |\rho_t|_2^2) ds + C c_3^{16} t + C c_0^5.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

For $|u|_{D^2}$, due to Lemma 3.3 and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u|_{D^2} &\leq C \left(|\rho u_t|_2 + |\rho w \cdot \nabla u|_2 + |\nabla P_m|_2 + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\overline{C}_r|_2 d\Omega dv \right) \\
&\leq C (|\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + |\rho|_{\infty} |w|_6 |\nabla u|_3 + |\rho|_{\infty} |\nabla \theta|_2 + C c_0^2) \\
&\leq C c_2^4 (|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + |\nabla u|_2) + \frac{1}{2} |u|_{D^2}^2 + C c_2^{\frac{9}{2}} c_3^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

According to Lemma 3.2, we also have

$$|\theta_t|_2 \leq C |\theta_t|_6 \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 + c_0 |\nabla \theta_t|_2) \leq C (c_3^6 + c_0 |\nabla \theta_t|_2). \tag{4.44}$$

Therefore, from (4.42)-(4.44), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla u(t)|^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u_t(t)|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u_t|_{D^1}^2 ds \leq C c_3^{16} t + C c_0^5 + C \frac{1}{\eta} c_3^{11} t \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t \left(c_3^9 \frac{1}{\eta} + \eta |\nabla w_t|_2^2 + 1 \right) (|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_2^2) ds + \eta M(c_5) (c_3^{12} t + c_3^{14})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_4$. From Gronwall's inequality and (4.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|u(t)|_{D^1}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u_t(t)|_2^2 + \mu \int_0^t |u_t|_{D^1}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \left(\eta M(c_5) (c_3^{12} t + c_3^{14}) + c_3^{16} t + c_0^5 + \frac{1}{\eta} c_3^{11} t \right) \exp \left(\int_0^t \left(c_3^5 \frac{1}{\eta} + \eta |\nabla w_t|_2^2 + 1 \right) ds \right) \\
&\leq C \left(\eta M(c_5) (c_3^{12} t + c_3^{14}) + c_3^{16} t + c_0^5 + \frac{1}{\eta} c_3^{11} t + t \right) \exp \left(c_3^5 t \frac{1}{\eta} + \eta c_2^2 t + t \right) \leq C c_0^5
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_5 = \min(T^*, (M(c_5)c_5^{25})^{-1})$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{c_3^4}$. Then from (4.43), we have

$$|u|_{D^2} \leq Cc_2^4(|\sqrt{\rho}u_t|_2 + |\nabla u|_2) + Cc_2^{\frac{9}{2}}c_3^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Cc_2^6c_3^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then similarly, we have

$$\int_0^t |u|_{D^{2,q}}^2 ds \leq \int_0^t \left(|\rho u_t + \rho w \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P_m|_q^2 + \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\overline{C}_r|_q d\Omega dv \right)^2 \right) ds \leq Cc_0^7.$$

□

Based on the a priori estimates of Lemmas 4.2-4.6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} &\leq Cc_0, \quad |\rho_t(t)|_q \leq Cc_0c_3, \\ \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; W^{1,q}))}^2 &\leq Cc_0^2, \quad \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T_2]; L^q))}^2 \leq Cc_0c_1, \\ \|\theta(t)\|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|\theta(s)|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |\theta_t(s)|_{D^1}^2) ds &\leq Cc_3^{12}, \\ \|u(t)\|_1^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}u_t(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|u(s)|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |u_t(s)|_{D^1}^2) ds &\leq Cc_0^7, \quad |u(t)|_{D^2}^2 \leq Cc_2^{12}c_3 \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \min(T^*, (M(c_5)c_5^{25})^{-1})$. Therefore, if we define the constants c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) and T^* by

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= C^{\frac{1}{2}}c_0, \quad c_2 = C^{\frac{1}{2}}c_0^{\frac{7}{2}}, \quad c_3 = Cc_2^{12} = C^7c_0^{42}, \\ c_4 &= c_5 = C^{\frac{1}{2}}c_3^6 = C^{\frac{85}{2}}c_0^{252}, \quad \text{and} \quad T^* = \min(T, (M(c_5)c_5^{25})^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T^*]; W^{1,q}))}^2 &\leq c_1^2, \quad \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T^*]; L^q))}^2 \leq c_2^2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|u(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^{T^*} (|u|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |u|_{D^2}^2 + |u_t|_{D^1}^2) dt &\leq c_2^2, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} |u(t)|_{D^2}^2 \leq c_3^2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} \|\theta(t)\|_1^2 + \int_0^{T^*} (|\theta|_{D^{2,q}}^2 + |\theta|_{D^2}^2 + |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2) dt &\leq c_4^2, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} |\theta(t)|_{D^2}^2 &\leq c_5^2, \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^*} (\|\rho(t)\|_{W^{1,q}} + |\rho_t(t)|_q) \leq c_3^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

4.2. The unique solvability of the linearized problem with vacuum. Now we give the key lemma to prove our main result.

Lemma 4.7. *Let (4.2) and (2.3)-(2.4) hold, then $\exists$ unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T^*] \times \mathbb{V}$ to (4.1)-(4.3). Moreover, (I, ρ, u, θ) satisfies estimates (4.49).*

Proof. Step 1: Existence of strong solution. We define $\rho_0 = \rho_0 + \delta$ for each $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then from the compatibility conditions (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_0 + R\nabla(\rho_0^\delta \theta_0) + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r^{0,\delta} \Omega d\Omega dv &= (\rho_0^\delta)_0^{\frac{1}{2}} g_1^\delta, \\ -\frac{1}{c_v} (\kappa \Delta \theta_0 + Q(u_0)) - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{c_v} \left(1 - \frac{u_0 \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) A_r^{0,\delta} d\Omega dv &= (\rho_0^\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_2^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^\delta &= \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_1 + R\delta \frac{\nabla \theta_0}{(\rho_0^\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{(A_r^0 - A_r^{0,\delta})}{(\rho_0^\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Omega d\Omega dv, \\ g_2^\delta &= \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_2 + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{c_v} \left(1 - \frac{u_0 \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \frac{(A_r^0 - A_r^{0,\delta})}{(\rho_0^\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\Omega dv. \end{aligned}$$

Then according to the assumption (1.12), for all $\delta > 0$ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \|\rho_0^\delta\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|(\theta_0, u_0)\|_2 + |(g_1^\delta, g_2^\delta)|_2 + \|I_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q})} \\ &+ \|S(v, t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; C^1([0, T]; W^{1,q})) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2))} \leq c_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, corresponding to the initial data $(I_0, \rho_0^\delta, \theta_0, u_0)$, there exists a unique strong solution $(I^\delta, \rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ satisfying (4.49). Then there exists a subsequence of solutions $(I^\delta, \rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ converges to a limit (I, ρ, u, θ) in weak or weak* sense. Due to the compact property in [27], there exists a subsequence of solutions $(I^\delta, \rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} I^\delta &\rightarrow I \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T^*] \times \mathbb{V}), \\ \rho^\delta &\rightarrow \rho \text{ in } C([0, T^*]; L^2(K)), \quad (u^\delta, \theta^\delta) \rightarrow (u, \theta) \text{ in } C([0, T^*]; H^1(K)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

where K is any compact subset of $\mathbb{V}$. Combining the lower semi-continuity of norms and (4.50), we know that (I, ρ, u, θ) also satisfies the local estimates (4.49). So it is easy to show that (I, ρ, u, θ) is a weak solution in the sense of distribution and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} I &\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0, T^*]; W^{1,q})), \quad I_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0, T^*]; L^q)), \\ \rho &\in L^\infty([0, T^*]; W^{1,q}), \quad \rho_t \in L^2 \in L^\infty([0, T^*]; L^q), \\ (\theta, u) &\in L^\infty([0, T^*]; H^2) \cap L^2([0, T^*]; D^{2,q}), \\ (\theta_t, u_t) &\in L^2([0, T^*]; H^1), \quad (\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t, \sqrt{\rho}u_t) \in L^\infty([0, T^*]; L^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Step 2: Uniqueness can be obtained by the same method used in Lemma 4.1.

Step 3: Time-continuity. The continuity of ρ can be obtained by the same argument as in Lemma 4.1. For I , due to (4.51), for $\forall (v, \Omega) \in R^+ \times S^2$, we have

$$I(v, \Omega, \cdot, \cdot) \in C([0, T^*]; L^q) \cap C([0, T^*]; W^{1,q} - \text{weak}).$$

According to (4.18), we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \|I(v, \Omega, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{W^{1,q}}^2 \leq \|I_0\|_{W^{1,q}}^2, \quad (4.52)$$

which implies that $I(v, \Omega, t, x)$ is right-continuous at $t = 0$ (see [31]). So we easily get the desired conclusion for I from the reversibility on the time to equation (4.1)₁. Similarly, from (4.51), we have

$$(u, \theta) \in C([0, T^*]; H^1) \cap C([0, T^*]; D^2 - \text{weak}).$$

From equations (4.1) and (4.51), we know that

$$(\rho\theta_t, \rho u_t) \in L^2([0, T^*]; L^2), \text{ and } ((\rho\theta_t)_t, (\rho u_t)_t) \in L^2([0, T^*]; H^{-1}),$$

via Aubin-Lions lemma, we have $(\rho\theta_t, \rho u_t) \in C([0, T^*]; L^2)$. Due to (4.36),

$$Lu = -\rho u_t - \rho(w \cdot \nabla)u - \nabla P_m - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \bar{C}_r \Omega d\Omega dv, \quad (4.53)$$

and the elliptic regularity estimate in Lemma 3.3, we have $(u, \theta) \in C([0, T^*]; D^2)$. $\square$

4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Our proof is based on the classical iteration scheme and the existence results for the linearized problem with vacuum in Section 4.2. Let us denote as in Section 4.1 that

$$2 + \|I_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q})} + \|\rho_0\|_{W^{1,q}} + \|(\theta_0, u_0)\|_2 + |(g_1, g_2)|_2 \\ + \|S(v, t, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; C^1([0, T]; W^{1,q})) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2))} \leq c_0.$$

Let $u^0 \in C([0, T]; H^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; D^{2,q})$, $\theta^0 \in C([0, T]; H^2) \cap L^2([0, T]; D^{2,q})$ and $I^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0, T]; W^{1,q}))$ be the solutions to the following linear problems

$$u_t^0 - \Delta u^0 = 0; \quad u^0(0) = u_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{V}; \quad (u \cdot n, (\nabla \times u) \cdot n)|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = (0, 0), \\ \theta_t^0 - \Delta \theta^0 = 0; \quad \theta^0(0) = \theta_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{V}; \quad \nabla \theta \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0. \\ I_t^0 + c\Omega \cdot \nabla I^0 = 0; \quad I^0(0) = I_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times \mathbb{V}; \quad I^0|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \Omega \cdot n \leq 0.$$

Then we can choose a time $T^{**} \in (0, T^*)$ such that (4.11) still holds with (T^*, ψ, w, ϕ) replaced by $(T^{**}, I^0, u^0, \theta^0)$.

Proof. Step 1. Existence. Let $(w, \phi, \psi) = (u^0, \theta^0, I^0)$, we can get $(I^1, \rho^1, u^1, \theta^1)$ as a strong solution to (4.1)-(4.3). Then we construct approximate solutions $(I^{k+1}, \rho^{k+1}, u^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1})$ inductively as follows: assuming (I^k, u^k, θ^k) was defined for $k \geq 1$, let $(I^{k+1}, \rho^{k+1}, u^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1})$ be the solution to (4.1)-(4.3) with (ψ, w, ϕ) replaced by (I^k, u^k, θ^k) as following:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t^{k+1} + \operatorname{div}(\rho^{k+1} u^k) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{c} I_t^{k+1} + \Omega \cdot \nabla I^{k+1} = \overline{A}_r^k, \\ \rho^{k+1} \theta_t^{k+1} + \rho^{k+1} u^k \cdot \nabla \theta^{k+1} + \frac{1}{c_v} (P_m^{k+1} \operatorname{div} u^k - k \Delta \theta^{k+1}) = \frac{1}{c_v} (Q(\nabla u^k) + \overline{N}_r^k), \\ \rho^{k+1} u_t^{k+1} + \rho^{k+1} u^k \cdot \nabla u^{k+1} + \nabla P_m^{k+1} + L u^{k+1} = -\frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \overline{C}_r^k \Omega d\Omega dv, \end{cases} \quad (4.54)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A}_r^k &= S - \sigma_a^{k+1,k} I^{k+1} + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s^{k+1} I'^k - (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} I^{k+1} \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ \overline{B}_r^k &= S - \sigma_a^{k+1,k} I^{k+1} + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s^{k+1} I'^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} I^{k+1} \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ \overline{C}_r^k &= S - \sigma_a^{k+1} I^{k+1} + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s^{k+1} I'^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} I^{k+1} \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ P_m^{k+1} &= R \rho^{k+1} \theta^{k+1}, \quad \overline{N}_r^k = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \overline{B}_r^k d\Omega dv, \\ \sigma_a^{k+1,k} &= \sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho^{k+1}, \theta^k) \rho^{k+1} = \sigma^{k+1,k} \rho^{k+1}, \quad \sigma_s^{k+1} = \overline{\sigma}_s \rho^{k+1}, \\ \sigma_a^{k+1} &= \sigma(v, \Omega, t, x, \rho^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1}) \rho^{k+1} = \sigma^{k+1} \rho^{k+1}, \quad (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} = \overline{\sigma}'_s \rho^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $(I^{k+1}, \rho^{k+1}, u^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1})|_{t=0} = (I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$. Via Section 4.2, we know $(I^k, \rho^k, u^k, \theta^k)$ also satisfy the estimate (4.49).

Next, we show that $(I^k, \rho^k, u^k, \theta^k)$ converges to a limit in a strong sense which will be used to prove the existence of the strong solution. Letting

$$\bar{I}^{k+1} = I^{k+1} - I^k, \quad \bar{\rho}^{k+1} = \rho^{k+1} - \rho^k, \quad \bar{u}^{k+1} = u^{k+1} - u^k, \quad \bar{\theta}^{k+1} = \theta^{k+1} - \theta^k,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\rho}_t^{k+1} + \operatorname{div}(\bar{\rho}^{k+1} u^k) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^k \bar{u}^k) = 0, \\ & \frac{1}{c} \bar{I}_t^{k+1} + \Omega \cdot \nabla \bar{I}^{k+1} + \left(\sigma_a^{k+1,k} + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} d\Omega' dv' \right) \bar{I}^{k+1} = -I^k (\sigma_a^{k+1,k} - \sigma_a^{k,k-1}) \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(((\sigma'_s)^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^k) I^k - \left(\frac{v}{v'} (\sigma_s^k \bar{I}^k + I'^k (\sigma_s^{k+1} - \sigma_s^k)) \right) \right) d\Omega' dv', \\ & \rho^{k+1} \bar{\theta}_t^{k+1} + \rho^{k+1} u^k \cdot \nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1} - \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \Delta \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \\ & = \frac{1}{c_v} (Q(\nabla u^k) - Q(\nabla u^{k-1})) - \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \theta_t^k - \bar{\rho}^{k+1} (u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla \theta^k + \frac{1}{c_v} R \theta^k \operatorname{div} u^{k-1}) \\ & \quad - \rho^{k+1} (\bar{u}^k \cdot \nabla \theta^k + \frac{1}{c_v} R \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \operatorname{div} u^k + \frac{1}{c_v} R \theta^k \operatorname{div} \bar{u}^k) + \frac{1}{c_v} L_1, \\ & \rho^{k+1} \bar{u}_t^{k+1} + \rho^{k+1} u^k \cdot \nabla \bar{u}^{k+1} + L \bar{u}^{k+1} \\ & = \bar{\rho}^{k+1} (-u_t^k - u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla u^k) - \rho^{k+1} \bar{u}^k \cdot \nabla u^k - R \nabla (\rho^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} + \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \theta^k) - \frac{1}{c} L_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

where L_1 and L_2 are given via

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \left(-\sigma_a^{k+1,k} \bar{I}^{k+1} - I^k (\sigma_a^{k+1,k} - \sigma_a^{k,k-1}) \right) d\Omega dv \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \left(I'^k (\sigma_s^{k+1} - \sigma_s^k) + \sigma_s^{k+1} \bar{I}'^{k+1} \right) d\mathbb{I} \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \left(I^k ((\sigma'_s)^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^k) + (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} \bar{I}^{k+1} \right) d\mathbb{I} \\ & \quad - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{\bar{u}^k \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) \left(S - \sigma_a^{k,k-1} I^k + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(\frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s^k I'^{k-1} - (\sigma'_s)^k I^k \right) d\Omega' dv' \right) d\Omega dv, \\ L_2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \Omega \left(-\sigma_a^{k+1} \bar{I}^{k+1} - I^k (\sigma_a^{k+1} - \sigma_a^k) \right) d\Omega dv \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(\sigma_s^{k+1} \bar{I}'^{k+1} + I'^k (\sigma_s^{k+1} - \sigma_s^k) \right) d\mathbb{I} - \int_{\mathbb{I}} \left(I^k ((\sigma'_s)^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^k) + (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} \bar{I}^{k+1} \right) d\mathbb{I}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider the mass density ρ . Multiplying (4.55)₁ by $\bar{\rho}^{k+1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, from (4.49) we have ($0 < \eta \leq \frac{1}{10}$ is a constant which will be determined later)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2^2 \leq A_\eta^k(t) |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2^2 + \eta |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2^2, \\ A_\eta^k(t) = C \left(|\nabla u^k|_{W^{1,q}}^2 + 1/\eta |\nabla \rho^k|_3^2 + 1/\eta |\rho^k|_\infty^2 \right), \text{ and } \int_0^t A_\eta^k(s) ds \leq C + C_\eta t \end{cases} \tag{4.56}$$

for $t \in [0, T^{**}]$, where $C_\eta > 0$ is a constant only depending on $1/\eta$ and parameters of C .

Next, we consider the specific radiation intensity I . Multiplying (4.55)₂ by $\bar{I}^{k+1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times \mathbb{V}$, from assumptions (1.11)-(1.12) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \\
& \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|\sigma^{k,k-1}|_\infty + |\bar{\sigma}|_\infty |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty) \|I^k\|_{W^{1,q}} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\bar{I}^{k+1}|_2 d\Omega dv \\
& \quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|\bar{\sigma}|_\infty |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty \|I^k\|_{W^{1,q}} |\bar{\theta}^k|_6 |\bar{I}^{k+1}|_2) d\Omega dv \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{I}} |\bar{I}^{k+1}|_2 \left(\frac{v}{v'} |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty (|\rho^k|_\infty |\bar{I}^k|_2 + \|I'^k\|_{W^{1,q}} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2) + |\bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty \|I^k\|_{W^{1,q}} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \right) d\mathbb{I} \\
& \leq D_\eta^k(t) \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 + C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2^2 \\
& \quad + \eta (|\nabla \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho^k} \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2 + \|\bar{I}^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

where we have used the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_a^{k+1,k} - \sigma_a^{k,k-1} = \rho^{k+1} \sigma^{k+1,k} - \rho^k \sigma^{k,k-1} \\
& = \rho^{k+1} (\sigma^{k+1,k} - \sigma^{k,k}) + \rho^{k+1} (\sigma^{k,k} - \sigma^{k,k-1}) + \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \sigma^{k,k-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

and $D_\eta^k(t)$ is defined via

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\eta^k(t) & = C(1 + 1/\eta) |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \\
& \quad + C\alpha^2/\eta |\rho^k|_\infty^2 + C(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.49), we also have $\int_0^t D_\eta^k(s) ds \leq C + C_\eta t$, for $t \in [0, T^{**}]$.

Then considering θ . Multiplying (4.55)₃ by $\bar{\theta}^{k+1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{c_v} |\nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\frac{1}{c_v} (Q(\nabla u^k) - Q(\nabla u^{k-1})) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} - \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \theta_t^k \bar{\theta}^{k+1} - \bar{\rho}^{k+1} u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla \theta^k \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \right) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(-\frac{1}{c_v} R \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \theta^k \operatorname{div} u^{k-1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} - \frac{1}{c_v} R \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \rho^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \operatorname{div} u^k - \rho^{k+1} \bar{u}^k \cdot \nabla \theta^k \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \right) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(-\frac{1}{c_v} R \rho^{k+1} \theta^k \operatorname{div} \bar{u}^k \bar{\theta}^{k+1} + L_1 \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \right) dx \equiv: \sum_{i=11}^{26} I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Minkowski's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} & \leq C |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2 |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 (|\nabla u^k|_3 + |\nabla u^{k-1}|_3), \quad I_{12} \leq C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\theta_t^k|_3 |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6, \\
I_{13} + I_{14} & \leq C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 \|\theta^k\|_2 \|u^{k-1}\|_2, \\
I_{15} & \leq C |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 \|\nabla u^k\|_1, \\
I_{16} + I_{17} & \leq C |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2 \|\theta^k\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and from the assumptions (1.11)-(1.12) and the definition of L_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{18} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(-\sigma_a^{k+1,k} \bar{I}^{k+1}\right) d\Omega dv dx \\
&\leq C(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)} \|\sigma^{k+1,k}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}, \\
I_{19} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(-I^k(\sigma_a^{k+1,k} - \sigma_a^{k,k-1})\right) d\Omega dv dx \\
&\leq C(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{1/2} \|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)} \\
&\quad \cdot (|\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q})} + |\bar{\theta}^k|_6 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}) \\
&\quad + C(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)} \|\sigma^{k,k-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}, \\
I_{20} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} I'^k (\sigma_s^{k+1} - \sigma_s^k) d\mathbb{I} dx \\
&\leq C\alpha(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}, \\
I_{21} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \sigma_s^{k+1} \bar{I}'^{k+1} d\mathbb{I} dx \\
&\leq C\alpha(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}, \\
I_{22} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(1 - \frac{u^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) I^k \left((\sigma'_s)^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^k\right) d\mathbb{I} dx \\
&\leq C\alpha_2^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1) |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}, \\
I_{23} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(-\frac{\bar{u}^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \bar{\theta}^{k+1} d\Omega dv dx \leq C |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2 \|S\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{V}))},
\end{aligned}$$

where in I_{19} we have used the fact (4.58). Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{24} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(-\frac{\bar{u}^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \left(-\sigma_a^{k,k-1} I^k\right) d\Omega dv dx \\
&\leq C |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k|_2 |\rho^k|_\infty^{1/2} \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)} \|\sigma^{k+1,k}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}^2, \\
I_{25} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(-\frac{\bar{u}^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} \sigma_s^k I'^k d\Omega' dv'\right) d\Omega dv dx \\
&\leq C\alpha |\rho^k|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}, \\
I_{26} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(-\frac{\bar{u}^k \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) \bar{\theta}^{k+1} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (\sigma'_s)^k I^k d\Omega' dv'\right) d\Omega dv dx \\
&\leq C\alpha_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\rho^k|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_6 |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then combining the above estimates, from Lemma 3.2 and (4.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 &\leq E_\eta^k(t) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + E_2^k(t) |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2^2 \\
&\quad + E_3^k(t) \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 + C(|\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k|_2^2) + \eta(|\nabla \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

where $E_\eta^k(t)$, $E_2^k(t)$ and $E_3^k(t)$ satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} E_\eta^k(t) = C + C\|\rho^{k+1}\|_\infty(\|\theta^k\|_2^2 + \|u^k\|_2^2) \\ \quad + 1/\eta(1 + \|\nabla u^k\|_1^2)\|\rho^{k+1}\|_\infty\|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q})}^2\|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}^2, \\ \int_0^t E_\eta^k(s) \leq C + C_\eta t, \quad \int_0^t (E_2^k(s) + E_3^k(s))ds \leq C, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T^{**}]. \end{cases}$$

Finally, multiplying (4.55)₄ by $\bar{u}^{k+1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 + \mu |\nabla \times \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\operatorname{div} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(-\bar{\rho}^{k+1} u_t^k \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} - \bar{\rho}^{k+1} (u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla u^k) \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} - \rho^{k+1} (\bar{u}^k \cdot \nabla u^k) \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - R \nabla (\rho^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}) \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} - R \nabla (\bar{\rho}^{k+1} \theta^k) \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} + L_2 \bar{u}^{k+1} \right) dx \equiv: \sum_{i=27}^{37} I_i. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Minkowski's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{27} &\leq C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |u_t^k|_3 |\bar{u}^{k+1}|_6, \quad I_{28} \leq C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 \|\nabla u^k\|_1 \|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_1, \\ I_{29} &\leq C |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 \|\nabla u^k\|_1 |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2, \\ I_{30} &\leq C |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2, \quad I_{31} \leq C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\theta^k|_\infty, \\ I_{32} &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \Omega \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} \left(-\sigma_a^{k+1} \bar{T}^{k+1} \right) d\Omega dv dx \\ &\leq C |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{T}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \|\sigma^{k+1,k}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty)}^2, \\ I_{33} &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \Omega \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} \left(-I^k (\sigma_a^{k+1} - \sigma_a^k) \right) d\Omega dv dx \\ &\leq C |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))} \|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))} \\ &\quad + C |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))} \|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))} \\ &\quad + C |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1(\mathbb{V}))} \|\sigma^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))}, \end{aligned}$$

where in I_{33} we have used the fact

$$\sigma_a^{k+1} - \sigma_a^k = \rho^{k+1} \sigma^{k+1} - \rho^k \sigma^k = \rho^{k+1} [(\sigma^{k+1} - \sigma^{k,k+1}) + (\sigma^{k,k+1} - \sigma^{k,k})] + \bar{\rho}^{k+1} \sigma^{k,k},$$

and similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{34} &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \Omega \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} \sigma_s^{k+1} \bar{T}^{k+1} d\mathbb{I} dx \\ &\leq C \alpha |\sqrt{\rho^{k+1}} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{T}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}, \\ I_{35} &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} \Omega \cdot \bar{u}^{k+1} I'^k (\sigma_s^{k+1} - \sigma_s^k) d\mathbb{I} dx \leq C \alpha |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1(\mathbb{V}))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{36} &= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \bar{u}^{k+1} I^k \left((\sigma'_s)^{k+1} - (\sigma'_s)^k \right) d\mathbb{I} dx \leq C \alpha_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2 \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1)}, \\
I_{37} &= \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \bar{u}^{k+1} (\sigma'_s)^{k+1} \bar{I}^{k+1} d\mathbb{I} dx \leq C \alpha_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2 |\rho^{k+1}|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then combining the above estimates for I_i ($i = 27, \dots, 37$), from Lemma 3.6 and (4.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 &\leq F_{\eta}^k(t) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 + F_2^k(t) |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}|_2^2 \\
&+ F_3^k(t) \|\bar{I}^{k+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 + F_4^k(t) |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + \eta |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

where $F_{\eta}^k(t)$, $F_2^k(t)$, $F_3^k(t)$ and $F_4^k(t)$ satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\eta}^k(t) = C \left(1 + 1/\eta |\rho^{k+1}|_{\infty} \|\nabla u^k\|_1^2 + |\rho^{k+1}|_{\infty} \|I^k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; W^{1,q}(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \|\bar{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \right), \\ \int_0^t F_{\eta}^k(s) ds \leq C + C_{\eta} t, \quad \int_0^t (F_2^k(s) + F_3^k(s) + F_4^k(s)) ds \leq C, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T^{**}]. \end{cases}$$

Next, we denote that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{k+1}(T^{**}, \epsilon) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} \|\bar{I}^{k+1}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\bar{\rho}^{k+1}(t)|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{\theta}^{k+1}(t)|_2^2 + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\sqrt{\rho}^{k+1} \bar{u}^{k+1}(t)|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

then from (4.56)-(4.60), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda^{k+1}(T^{**}, \epsilon) + \int_0^{T^{**}} (\epsilon |\nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2) dt \\
&\leq \int_0^{T^{**}} G_{\epsilon, \eta}^k \Lambda^{k+1}(t, \epsilon) dt + \int_0^{T^{**}} C \left((\eta + \epsilon \eta) |\nabla \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2 + (\epsilon + \eta) |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2^2 \right) dt \\
&+ \epsilon T^{**} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k(t)|_2^2 + \eta T^{**} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} \|\bar{I}^k(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for some $G_{\epsilon, \eta}^k$ such that $\int_0^t G_{\epsilon, \eta}^k(s) ds \leq (1 + \epsilon)(C + C_{\eta} t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T^{**}$. According to Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda^{k+1}(T^{**}, \epsilon) + \int_0^{T^{**}} (\epsilon |\nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2) ds \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^{T^{**}} C ((\eta + \epsilon \eta) |\nabla \bar{\theta}^k|_2^2 + (\epsilon + \eta) |\nabla \bar{u}^k|_2^2) dt + \epsilon T^{**} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{u}^k(t)|_2^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \eta T^{**} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} |\sqrt{\rho}^k \bar{\theta}^k(t)|_2^2 + \eta T^{**} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{**}} \|\bar{I}^k(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 \right) \exp((1 + \epsilon)(C + C_{\eta} t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $0 < T^{**} \leq 1$, first, we can choose $0 < \epsilon = \epsilon_0 < 1$ small enough such that

$$(1 + C)\epsilon_0 \exp((1 + \epsilon_0)C) \leq \frac{1}{8};$$

second, we can choose $\eta = \eta_0$ small enough such that

$$(C + 1)(\epsilon_0 \eta_0 + \eta_0) \exp((1 + \epsilon_0)C) \leq \frac{1}{8};$$

then finally, we can choose $T^{**} = T_*$ small enough such that

$$\exp((1 + \epsilon_0)C_{\eta_0} T_*) \leq 2.$$

Then when $\Lambda^{k+1} = \Lambda^{k+1}(T_*, \epsilon_0, \eta_0)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\Lambda^{k+1} + \int_0^{T_*} \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}^{k+1}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{u}^{k+1}|_2^2 \right) dt \right) \leq C < +\infty.$$

Thus we know that the full consequence $(I^k, \rho^k, u^k, \theta^k)$ converges to a limit (I, ρ, u, θ) in the following strong sense:

$$\begin{aligned} I^k &\rightarrow I \text{ in } L^\infty([0, T_*]; L^2(R^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))), \\ \rho^k &\rightarrow \rho \text{ in } L^\infty([0, T_*]; L^2(\mathbb{V})), \quad (\theta^k, u^k) \rightarrow (\theta, u) \text{ in } L^2([0, T_*]; D^1(\mathbb{V})), \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

which, along with (4.49), show that (I, ρ, u, θ) satisfies the regularities (4.51) and estimates (4.49). Then it is easy to see (I, ρ, u, θ) is a weak solution in the sense of distribution.

Step 2. The uniqueness. Let $(I_1, \rho_1, u_1, \theta_1)$ and $(I_2, \rho_2, u_2, \theta_2)$ be two strong solutions to IBVP (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.10) satisfying the regularity (4.51). We denote that

$$\bar{I} = I_1 - I_2, \quad \bar{\rho} = \rho_1 - \rho_2, \quad \bar{u} = u_1 - u_2, \quad \bar{\theta} = \theta_1 - \theta_2.$$

Via the same method as in the derivations of (4.56)-(4.60), letting

$$\Lambda(t) = \|\bar{I}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}^2 + |\bar{\rho}|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho_1} \bar{\theta}|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho_1} \bar{u}|_2^2,$$

we similarly have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda(t) + |\nabla \bar{u}|_2^2 + |\nabla \bar{\theta}|_2^2 \leq H(t) \Lambda(t), \quad (4.62)$$

where $\int_0^t H(s) ds \leq C$, for $t \in [0, T_*]$. Then from the Gronwall's inequality and $u \cdot n|_{\partial \mathbb{V}} = 0$, we conclude that $\bar{I} = \bar{\rho} = \bar{u} = \bar{\theta} = 0$, then the uniqueness is obtained.

Step 3. The time-continuity can be obtained by the same method as in Lemma 4.1. $\square$

5. NECESSITY AND SUFFICIENCY OF THE COMPATIBILITY CONDITION

Now we show the proof for Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Step:1. Necessity. Let (I, ρ, u, θ) be a strong solution to (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) or (1.10) and the regularity shown in Definition 2.1. Then due to (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(t) + \nabla P_m(t) + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r(t) \Omega d\Omega dv &= \sqrt{\rho}(t) G^1(t), \\ -\frac{1}{c_v} (\kappa \Delta \theta + Q(u)) - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{c_v} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) A_r d\Omega dv &= \sqrt{\rho}(t) G^2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_*$, where

$$G^1(t) = \sqrt{\rho}(-u_t - u \cdot \nabla u), \quad G^2(t) = \sqrt{\rho}(-\theta_t - u \cdot \nabla \theta - R\theta \operatorname{div} u).$$

Since

$$(\sqrt{\rho} u_t, \sqrt{\rho} \theta_t, \sqrt{\rho} u \cdot \nabla u, \sqrt{\rho} u \cdot \nabla \theta, \sqrt{\rho} \theta \operatorname{div} u) \in L^\infty([0, T_*]; L^2),$$

we have $(G^1, G^2) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2)$. So there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}$ ($t_k \rightarrow 0$) such that

$$(G^1(t_k), G^2(t_k)) \rightharpoonup (f, g) \text{ weak-* in } L^2 \text{ for some } (f, g) \in L^2.$$

So, let $t = t_k \rightarrow 0$ in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(0) + \nabla P_m(\rho(0)) + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r(0) \Omega d\Omega dv &= \sqrt{\rho}(0)f, \\ -\frac{1}{c_v}(\kappa \Delta \theta(0) + Q(u(0)) - \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{1}{c_v} \left(1 - \frac{u(0) \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) A_r(0) d\Omega dv &= \sqrt{\rho}(0)g. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Combining with the strong convergence (2.6) and (5.2), we know that the necessity of the compatibility condition is obtained. Moreover, from the construction of our strong solutions in Section 4, we easily deduce that $f = g_1$ and $g = g_2$.

Step2: Sufficiency. Let $(I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ be the initial data satisfying (2.2)-(2.3). Then there exists a unique strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2 \times [0, T_*] \times \mathbb{V}$ to (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) or (1.10). Then we only need to make sure that

$$I(v, \Omega, 0, x) = I_0, \quad \rho(0, x) = \rho_0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad \theta(0, x) = \theta_0, \quad x \in \mathbb{V}.$$

From Remark 2.1, it remains to prove that $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ and $\theta(0, x) = \theta_0(x)$ when $x \in V$. Let $\bar{u}_0 = u_0 - u(0, x)$ and $\bar{\theta}_0 = \theta_0 - \theta(0, x)$. According to the proof of the necessity, we know that $(I(v, \Omega, 0, x), \rho(0, x), u(0, x), \theta(0, x))$ also satisfies the relation (2.3) for $(g_1, g_2) \in L^2$. Then we quickly know that $(\bar{\theta}_0, \bar{u}_0) \in D_0^1(V) \cap D^2(V)$ is the unique solution of the elliptic problem (2.5) in V , and thus $\bar{u}_0 = 0$ and $\bar{\theta}_0 = 0$ in V , which implies that $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$, $\theta(0, x) = \theta_0(x)$, $x \in V$. $\square$

6. BEAL-KATO-MAJDA BLOW-UP CRITERION.

Now we prove (2.10). Let (I, ρ, u, θ) be the strong solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 to (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.9) in $\mathbb{R}^+ S^2 \times [0, \bar{T}] \times \mathbb{V}$. We assume the opposite of (2.10) holds, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \bar{T}} (\|\nabla I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}) + |\rho|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{V})} + |\theta|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{V})}) = C_0 < \infty. \quad (6.1)$$

In this section, $C \geq 1$ denotes a generic constant depending only on $(I_0, \rho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$, C_0 , α , β , κ , q , R , c_v , μ , λ , c , $|\mathbb{V}|$ and T .

6.1. The lower order estimate for $|u|_{L^\infty([0, \bar{T}]; D^1(\mathbb{V}))}$. We first have the L^∞ bound of I and some classical energy estimates.

Lemma 6.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega))} + \|I_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}) &\leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T, \\ \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \|A_r(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{V})} d\Omega dv + |\sqrt{\rho}(u, \theta)(t)|_2 + \|(\nabla u, \nabla \theta)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{V})} &\leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, let $2 \leq r$, multiplying (1.7)₁ by $r|I|^{r-2}I$ and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}|I|_r^r \leq Cr|I|_r^{r-1} \left(|S|_r + |\rho|_\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} |I'|_r |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty d\Omega' dv' \right). \quad (6.2)$$

According to assumptions (1.11)-(1.12) and (6.2), we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}|I|_r^2 \leq C \left(|I|_r^2 + |S|_r^2 + |I|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^r)}^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty^2 d\Omega' dv' \right). \quad (6.3)$$

From Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|I(v, \Omega, t, x)\|_{C([0,T];L^r)}^2 \leq \exp(CT) \left(|I_0|_r^2 + \int_0^T |S|_r^2 ds + T \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{v}{v'} \right|^2 |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty^2 d\Omega' dv' \right).$$

Integrating the above inequality in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2$ with respect to (v, Ω) , we have

$$\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0,T]; L^r))}^2 \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T,$$

where C is independent of r . Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the bound of $\|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; C([0,T]; L^\infty))}^2$. Moreover, the estimate for I_t follows quickly from $I_t = -c\Omega \cdot \nabla I + cA_r$.

Secondly, multiplying (1.7)₃ by u , (1.7)₄ by θ respectively and integrating the resulting equations over $\mathbb{V}$ by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m \operatorname{div} u dx - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega \cdot u d\Omega dv dx \leq \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u|_2^2 + C, \\ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{V}} C(\rho \theta^2 |\operatorname{div} u| + |\nabla u|^2 |\theta| + N_r \theta) dx \leq C |\nabla u|_2^2 + C, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

which immediately implies the desired conclusions. $\square$

Now we improve the energy estimate obtained in Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *If $\lambda < 3\mu$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u(t)|^4 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. For any λ satisfying that $\lambda < 3\mu$, there must exist a sufficiently small constant $\alpha_{\lambda\mu} > 0$ such that:

$$\lambda < (3 - \alpha_{\lambda\mu})\mu < 3\mu. \tag{6.6}$$

So we only need to show that (6.2) holds under the assumption (6.6).

First, multiplying (1.7)₃ by $r|u|^{r-2}u$ ($r \geq 3$) and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{V}$ by parts, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^r dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} H_r dx = -r(r-2)(\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{V}} \operatorname{div} u |u|^{r-3} u \cdot \nabla |u| dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{V}} r P_m \operatorname{div} (|u|^{r-2} u) dx - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} r |u|^{r-2} A_r u \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv dx, \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

where

$$H_r = r|u|^{r-2} (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu(r-2) |\nabla |u||^2).$$

For any given $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$, we define a nonnegative function which will be determined in **Step 2** as follows

$$\phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu \epsilon_1 (r-1)}{3 \left(-\frac{\mu(4-\epsilon_0)}{3} - \lambda + \frac{r^2(\lambda+\mu)}{4(r-1)} \right)}, & \text{if } \frac{r^2(\mu+\lambda)}{4(r-1)} - \frac{\mu(4-\epsilon_0)}{3} - \lambda > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Step 1: We assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^r \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 dx > \phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx. \quad (6.8)$$

A direct calculation gives for $|u| > 0$ that (2.11) holds. By (6.7) and the Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^r dx + \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} H_r dx \\ &= -r(r-2)(\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} \operatorname{div} u |u|^{\frac{r-2}{2}} |u|^{\frac{r-4}{2}} u \cdot \nabla |u| dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} r P_m \operatorname{div} (|u|^{r-2} u) dx - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} r |u|^{r-2} A_r u \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv dx \\ & \leq r(\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 dx + \frac{r(r-2)^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4} \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} r P_m \operatorname{div} (|u|^{r-2} u) dx - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} r |u|^{r-2} A_r u \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Via Holder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= r \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u| dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^{r-2} P_m dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^{\frac{r}{2}}_6^{1-\frac{2}{r}} \|P_m\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{6r}{2r+2}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu r \epsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C(\mu, r, \epsilon_0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} r |u|^{r-2} A_r u \cdot \Omega d\Omega dv dx \\ & \leq C \|u\|^{\frac{r}{2}}_6^{2-\frac{2}{r}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |A_r|_{\frac{3r}{2r+1}} d\Omega dv \leq \frac{1}{4} \mu r \epsilon_0 \int_{\mathbb{V}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C(\mu, r, \epsilon_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ is independent of r . Then combining (6.8)-(6.10), we quickly have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^r dx + r f(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, r) \int_{\mathbb{V} \cap |u| > 0} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u| > 0\}} \mu r (1 - \epsilon_0) \epsilon_2 |u|^r \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 dx \leq C(\mu, r, \epsilon_0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$f(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, r) = \mu(1 - \epsilon_0)(1 - \epsilon_2)\phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) + \mu(r - 1 - \epsilon_0) - \frac{(r-2)^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4}. \quad (6.12)$$

Subcase 1: If $4 \in \left\{ r \left| \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r-1)} - \frac{(4-\epsilon_0)\mu}{3} - \lambda > 0 \right. \right\}$, i.e, $\lambda + \epsilon_0\mu > 0$, it is easy to get

$$[4, +\infty) \in \left\{ r \left| \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r-1)} - \frac{(4-\epsilon_0)\mu}{3} - \lambda > 0 \right. \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) = \frac{\mu\epsilon_1(r-1)}{3\left(-\frac{(4-\epsilon_0)\mu}{3} - \lambda + \frac{r^2(\lambda+\mu)}{4(r-1)}\right)}, \quad \text{for } r \in [4, \infty). \quad (6.13)$$

Substituting (6.13) into (6.12), for $r \in [4, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, r) \\ &= \frac{\mu^2\epsilon_1(1-\epsilon_0)(1-\epsilon_2)(r-1)}{3\left(-\frac{(4-\epsilon_0)\mu}{3} - \lambda + \frac{r^2(\lambda+\mu)}{4(r-1)}\right)} + \mu(r-1-\epsilon_0) - \frac{(r-2)^2(\mu+\lambda)}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

For $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, r) = (1, 0, 4)$, we have

$$f(\epsilon_0, 1, 0, 4) = \frac{3(1-\epsilon_0)\mu^2}{\lambda + \epsilon_0\mu} + 2\mu - \lambda - \epsilon_0\mu = -C_1(\lambda - a_1\mu)(\lambda - a_2\mu). \quad (6.15)$$

Then according to $\lambda + \epsilon_0\mu > 0$, we have $C_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda + \epsilon_0\mu} > 0$ and

$$a_1(\epsilon_0) = 1 - \epsilon_0 + \sqrt{4 - 3\epsilon_0}, \quad a_2(\epsilon_0) = -1 - \epsilon_0 - \sqrt{4 - 3\epsilon_0}. \quad (6.16)$$

So if we want to make sure that $f(\epsilon_0, 1, 0, 4) > 0$, we have to assume that

$$-\epsilon_0\mu < \lambda < a_1(\epsilon_0)\mu. \quad (6.17)$$

Due to $a_1(0) = 3$ and $a_1'(\epsilon_0) < 0$ for $\epsilon_0 \in [0, 1/4]$, then we can choose $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1/4)$ such that $a_1(\epsilon_0) \leq 3 - \alpha_{\lambda\mu}$.

Since $f(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, 4)$ is continuous w.r.t. (ϵ_1, ϵ_2) over $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, there exists $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, 4) > 0,$$

which, together with (6.11), implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C. \quad (6.18)$$

Subcase 2: If $4 \notin \{r \mid \frac{r^2(\mu+\lambda)}{4(r-1)} - \frac{(4-\epsilon_0)\mu}{3} - \lambda > 0\}$, i.e., $\lambda < -\epsilon_0\mu$. In this case, for $r = 4$, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & r \left[\mu(1-\epsilon_0)(1-\epsilon_2)\phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) + \mu(r-1-\epsilon_0) - \frac{(r-2)^2(\mu+\lambda)}{4} \right] \\ & > 4 \left(\frac{11}{4}\mu - (\mu+\lambda) \right) = 4 \left(\frac{7\mu}{4} - \lambda \right) \geq 4 \left(\frac{7\mu}{4} + \epsilon_0\mu \right) > 7\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

which, together with (6.11)-(6.12), implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C. \quad (6.20)$$

Step 2 : we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^r \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 dx \leq \phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx. \quad (6.21)$$

A direct calculation gives for $|u| > 0$,

$$\operatorname{div} u = |u| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) + \frac{u \cdot \nabla |u|}{|u|}. \quad (6.22)$$

Then combining (6.22) and (6.9)-(6.10), we quickly have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^r dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} \mu r (1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^{r-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} r (\lambda + \mu) |u|^{r-2} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} \mu r (r - 2) |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx \\
& = -r(r-2)(\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} \left(|u|^{r-2} u \cdot \nabla |u| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) + |u|^{r-4} |u \cdot \nabla |u||^2 \right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^r dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} r |u|^{r-4} G dx \leq C(\mu, r, \epsilon_0), \tag{6.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G = & \mu(1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) |u|^2 |\operatorname{div} u|^2 + \mu(r-2) |u|^2 |\nabla |u||^2 \\
& + (r-2)(\mu + \lambda) |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla |u| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) + (r-2)(\mu + \lambda) |u \cdot \nabla |u||^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Now we consider how to make sure that $G \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
G = & \mu(1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^2 \left(|u|^2 \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 + |\nabla |u||^2 \right) \\
& + (\mu + \lambda) |u|^2 \left(|u| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) + \frac{u \cdot \nabla |u|}{|u|} \right)^2 + \mu(r-2) |u|^2 |\nabla |u||^2 \\
& + (r-2)(\mu + \lambda) |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla |u| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) + (r-2)(\mu + \lambda) |u \cdot \nabla |u||^2 \\
= & \mu(1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^4 \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 + \mu(r-1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^2 |\nabla |u||^2 \\
& + (r-1)(\mu + \lambda) \left(u \cdot \nabla |u| + \frac{r}{2(r-1)} |u|^2 \left(\operatorname{div} \frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right)^2 \\
& + (\mu + \lambda) |u|^4 \left(\operatorname{div} \frac{u}{|u|} \right)^2 - \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r-1)} |u|^4 \left(\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

which, combining with the fact $\left| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2 \leq 3 \left| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right|^2$, implies that

$$G \geq \mu(r-1 - \epsilon_0) |u|^2 |\nabla |u||^2 + \left(\frac{(4 - \epsilon_0)\mu}{3} + \lambda - \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r-1)} \right) |u|^4 \left(\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right)^2. \tag{6.27}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} r |u|^{r-4} G dx \geq \mu r (r-1 - \epsilon_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx \\
& + r \left(\frac{(4 - \epsilon_0)\mu}{3} + \lambda - \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r-1)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^r \left(\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{u}{|u|} \right) \right)^2 dx \\
& \geq g(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u|>0\}} |u|^{r-2} |\nabla |u||^2 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where

$$g(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) = \left[3r \left(\frac{(4 - \epsilon_0)\mu}{3} + \lambda - \frac{r^2(\mu + \lambda)}{4(r - 1)} \right) \phi(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, r) + \mu r(r - 1 - \epsilon_0) \right]. \quad (6.29)$$

Here we need that ϵ_0 is sufficiently small such that $\epsilon_0 < (r - 1)(1 - \epsilon_1)$. Then combining (2.11), (6.24) and (6.28)-(6.29), when $r = 4$, we quickly have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^4 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \cap \{|u| > 0\}} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C. \quad (6.30)$$

So combining (6.18)-(6.20) and (6.30) for **Step:1** and **Step:2**, we conclude that if $\lambda < (3 - \alpha_{\lambda\mu})\mu$, there exists some constants $C > 0$ such that (6.2) holds. $\square$

The next lemma will give a key estimate on ∇u .

Lemma 6.3.

$$|\nabla u(t)|_2 + \int_0^T |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

Proof. Via the momentum equations (1.7)₂, we have

$$\Delta G = \operatorname{div} \left(\rho \dot{u} + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega d\Omega dv \right), \quad \mu \Delta \omega = \nabla \times \left(\rho \dot{u} + \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega d\Omega dv \right),$$

where

$$\dot{f} = f_t + u \cdot \nabla f = f_t + \operatorname{div}(fu) - f \operatorname{div} u, \quad G = (2\mu + \lambda) \operatorname{div} u - P_m, \quad \text{and } \omega = \nabla \times u,$$

are the material derivative of f , the effective viscous flux, and the vorticity, respectively. It follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 6.1 that

$$|\nabla G|_2 + |\nabla \omega|_2 \leq C(|\rho u_t|_2 + |\rho u \cdot \nabla u|_2 + 1) \leq C(|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u| |\nabla u|_2 + 1). \quad (6.31)$$

Multiplying (1.7)₂ by u_t and integrating over Ω gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u_t|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(P_m \operatorname{div} u_t - \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega \cdot u_t d\Omega dv \right) dx = A + B + C. \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (6.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m \operatorname{div} u_t dx = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m \operatorname{div} u dx - \int_{\mathbb{V}} (P_m)_t \operatorname{div} u dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m \operatorname{div} u dx - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (P_m)_t G dx - \frac{1}{2(2\mu + \lambda)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} P_m^2 dx \\ &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

We first consider the second term on the right-hand side of (6.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= -\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (P_m)_t G dx = -\frac{\gamma - 1}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left((\rho E_m)_t - \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 \right)_t \right) G dx \\ &= A_{21} + A_{22}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{21} &= -\frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G + P_m u \cdot \nabla G + \frac{P_m}{\gamma-1} u \cdot \nabla G \right) dx \\
&\quad - \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (N_r G - \kappa \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla G) dx + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (u \mathbb{T}) \cdot \nabla G dx \\
&\leq -\frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G dx + C |G|_2 |N_r|_2 \\
&\quad + C |\nabla G|_2 (|u P_m|_2 + \|u\| |\nabla u|_2 + |\nabla \theta|_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (6.32), Cauchy's inequality yields

$$B = - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \leq \frac{1}{6} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u \cdot \nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{6.36}$$

Then according to (6.31), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sqrt{\rho} u| |\nabla u|_2 &\leq C |\rho^{\frac{1}{4}} u|_4 |\nabla u|_4 \leq C (|G|_4 + |\omega|_4 + 1) \\
&\leq C (|G|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} |G|_6^{\frac{3}{4}} + |\omega|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} |\omega|_6^{\frac{3}{4}} + 1) \\
&\leq \epsilon (|\nabla G|_2 + |\nabla \omega|_2) + C(\epsilon) (|G|_2 + |\omega|_2) + C \\
&\leq C \epsilon (|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u| |\nabla u|_2) + C(\epsilon) (|\nabla u|_2 + 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

which immediately means that

$$|\sqrt{\rho} u \cdot \nabla u|_2 \leq C \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + C(\epsilon) (|\nabla u|_2 + 1). \tag{6.38}$$

Then substituting (6.38) into (6.31), we have

$$|\nabla G|_2 + |\nabla \omega|_2 \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + |\nabla u|_2 + 1), \tag{6.39}$$

which, together with (6.34), implies that

$$A_{21} \leq -\frac{1}{4\mu+2\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G dx + C (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + \|u\| |\nabla u|_2^2 + 1) + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2, \tag{6.40}$$

where we used the fact that $|N_r|_2 \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|_2)$ via Lemma 6.1. Next we consider A_{22} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{22} &= \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_t |u|^2 G dx + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot u_t G dx \\
&\leq -\frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) |u|^2 G dx + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C(\epsilon) \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^2 |G|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u G dx + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G dx \\
&\quad + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \\
&\leq \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} u| |\nabla u|_2 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G dx + C \|u\| |\nabla u|_2^2 + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

which, together with (6.38), implies that

$$A_{22} \leq \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2\mu+\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla G dx + C |\nabla u|_2^2 + C \|u\| |\nabla u|_2^2 + C. \tag{6.42}$$

Then combining (6.40) and (6.42), we deduce that

$$A_2 \leq \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 + C |\nabla u|_2^2 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C \|u\| |\nabla u|_2^2 + C. \quad (6.43)$$

Next we consider the term B and C . From (6.36) and (6.38), we have

$$B = \int_{\mathbb{V}} -\rho u \cdot \nabla u \cdot u_t dx \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} u| |\nabla u|_2 + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 \leq \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_2^2 + C, \quad (6.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C &= -\frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} A_r \Omega \cdot u_t d\Omega dv dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} S \Omega \cdot u d\Omega dv dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} S_t \Omega \cdot u d\Omega dv dx \\ &\quad + C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 |I|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} (|\sigma|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^\infty(\mathbb{V}))} + \alpha + \alpha) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} S \Omega \cdot u d\Omega dv dx + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_2^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

Then from (6.32)-(6.33) and (6.43)-(6.45), letting ϵ be sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) (\operatorname{div} u)^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |u_t|^2 dx \\ &\leq C (|\nabla u|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta|_2^2) + C \|u\| |\nabla u|_2^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

From Gronwall's inequality and Lemma 6.2, we obtain the desired conclusions. $\square$

6.2. The lower order estimate for $|\theta|_{L^\infty([0, T]; D^1(\mathbb{V}))}$.

Lemma 6.4.

$$\begin{aligned} &|\theta(t)|_{D^1} + \int_0^T (|\theta|_{D^2}^2 + |\dot{u}|_{D^1}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + |\operatorname{div} u|_\infty) dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ &|u(t)|_\infty + |\nabla u(t)|_6 + |(G, \omega)(t)|_{D^1} + |(\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}, \sqrt{\rho} u_t)(t)|_2 \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Step 1. Applying $\dot{u}[\partial/\partial t + \operatorname{div}(u \cdot)]$ to (1.7)₃ and integrating by parts give

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx = - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{u} \cdot (\nabla(P_m)_t + \operatorname{div}(\nabla P_m \otimes u)) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{u} \cdot (\Delta u_t + \operatorname{div}(\Delta u \otimes u)) dx + (\lambda + \mu) \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{u} \cdot (\nabla \operatorname{div} u_t + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \operatorname{div} u \otimes u)) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \dot{u} \cdot ((A_r)_t \Omega + \operatorname{div}(A_r \Omega \otimes u)) d\Omega dv dx \equiv: \sum_{i=3}^6 M_i. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

According to the continuity equation (1.7)₂, Lemmas 6.1-6.3, Hölder's inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Young's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} (R \operatorname{div} \dot{u}(\rho \theta)_t - P_m (\nabla u)^\top : \nabla \dot{u} - R \rho \theta u \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} \dot{u}) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} (R[(\rho \theta)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \theta u)] \operatorname{div} \dot{u} - P_m (\nabla u)^\top : \nabla \dot{u}) dx \\ &\leq C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 |\operatorname{div} \dot{u}|_2 + C |P_m|_4 |\nabla u|_4 |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 \leq \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4 &= - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \mu (\partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_i u_t^j + \Delta u^j u \cdot \nabla \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \mu (|\nabla \dot{u}|^2 - \partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_k u^k \partial_i u^j - \partial_i \dot{u}^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k u^j - \partial_i u^j \partial_i u^k \partial_k \dot{u}^j) dx \\
&\leq - \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

and similarly, we have

$$M_5 = (\lambda + \mu) \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\dot{u} \cdot (\nabla \operatorname{div} u_t + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \operatorname{div} u \otimes u))) dx \leq - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^4. \tag{6.50}$$

Next we consider the radiation term M_6 :

$$M_6 = - \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \dot{u} \cdot ((A_r)_t \Omega + \operatorname{div}(A_r \Omega \otimes u)) d\Omega dv dx \equiv: \sum_{i=1}^5 - \frac{1}{c} M_{6i}. \tag{6.51}$$

Then via (2.8) and $\sigma_a = \sigma(v, \Omega, \theta) \rho$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{61} &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{u} \cdot \Omega S_t dx d\Omega dv \leq |\dot{u}|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S_t|_2 d\Omega dv \leq \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C, \\
M_{62} &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{u} \cdot \Omega (\sigma_\theta \theta_t \rho I - \sigma \operatorname{div}(\rho u) I + \sigma \rho I_t) dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C C_r (|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 |u|_6 |\rho|_3 + (|\nabla \theta|_2 + 1) |\dot{u}|_6 |u|_6 |\rho|_6 + |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2) \\
&\leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2) + \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C, \\
M_{63} &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \frac{v}{v'} \dot{u} \cdot \Omega (\bar{\sigma}_s \rho I'_t + (\bar{\sigma}_s)_t \rho I' - \bar{\sigma}_s \operatorname{div}(\rho u) I') d\Omega dv dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C D_r (|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 |\nabla u|_2 |\rho|_3) \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.52}$$

where we used the fact $(\sigma_a)_t = \sigma_\theta \theta_t \rho + \sigma \rho_t$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_r &= \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|\sigma_\theta|_\infty |I|_\infty + |\sigma|_\infty |I|_\infty + |\sigma|_\infty |I_t|_2 + |\sigma|_\infty |\nabla I|_2) d\Omega dv \leq C, \\
D_r &= \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} (|\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty (|I'|_\infty + |I'_t|_2 + |\nabla I'|_2) + |\nabla \bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty |I'|_6 + |(\bar{\sigma}_s)_t|_\infty |I'|_2) d\mathbb{I} \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{64} &= - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \dot{u} \cdot \Omega (\bar{\sigma}'_s \rho I_t + (\bar{\sigma}'_s)_t \rho I + \bar{\sigma}'_s \rho_t I) d\Omega' dv' \right) dx d\Omega dv \\
&\leq C E_r (|\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 |\nabla u|_2 |\rho|_3) \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C, \\
M_{65} &\leq C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 |u|_6 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |A_r|_3 d\Omega dv \leq C |\nabla u|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{20} |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.53}$$

where

$$E_r = \int_{\mathbb{I}} (|\bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty (|I|_\infty + |I_t|_2 + |\nabla I|_2) + |\nabla \bar{\sigma}'_s|_\infty |I|_6 + |(\bar{\sigma}'_s)_t|_\infty |I|_2) d\mathbb{I} \leq C.$$

Together with (6.47)-(6.53), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 dx + |\dot{u}|_{D^1}^2 \leq C |\nabla u|_4^4 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2^2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C. \quad (6.54)$$

Step 2. Multiplying (1.7)₄ by $\dot{\theta}$, and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\kappa}{2c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(-P_m \operatorname{div} u \dot{\theta} + Q(u) \theta_t + Q(u) u \cdot \nabla \theta + \kappa \Delta \theta u \cdot \nabla \theta + N_r \dot{\theta} \right) dx = \sum_{i=7}^{11} M_i. \end{aligned} \quad (6.55)$$

Then from Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_7 &\leq C |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\theta|_{\infty} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 |\nabla u|_2 \leq \frac{1}{20} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C, \\ M_8 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx - \frac{4\mu}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} D(u) : D(u_t) \theta dx - \frac{2\lambda}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} u_t \theta dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx + C |\nabla u|_2 |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(4\mu D(u) : D(u \cdot \nabla u) \theta + 2\lambda \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) \theta \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + \frac{4\mu}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(D(u) : (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla u)^{\top}) \right) \theta dx \\ &\quad + \frac{4\mu}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} D(u) : u \cdot \nabla D(u) \theta dx + \frac{2\lambda}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left((\nabla u)^{\top} : \nabla u + u \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \right) \operatorname{div} u \theta dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + C |\nabla u|_3^3 + \frac{2\mu}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |D(u)|^2 \operatorname{div} u \theta dx \\ &\quad + \frac{2\mu}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |D(u)|^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{V}} (|\operatorname{div} u|^3 \theta + |\operatorname{div} u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + C |\nabla u|_3^3 + C \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla u|^2 |u| |\nabla \theta| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

From Lemmas 3.3 and 6.1, we quickly have

$$|\theta|_{D^2} \leq C (|\rho \dot{\theta}|_2 + |\rho \theta \operatorname{div} u|_2 + |\nabla u|_4^2 + 1) \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 + C |\nabla u|_4^2 + C. \quad (6.57)$$

Then via Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$\int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla u|^2 |u| |\nabla \theta| dx \leq C |\nabla u|_4^2 |u|_6 |\nabla \theta|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \theta|_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{20} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^4 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C, \quad (6.58)$$

which, together with (6.56), implies that

$$M_8 \leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} Q(u) \theta dx + C |\nabla u|_4^4 + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + \frac{1}{20} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C. \quad (6.59)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_9 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla u|^2 |u| |\nabla \theta| dx \leq \frac{1}{20} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^4 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C, \\
M_{10} &\leq C |\Delta \theta|_2 |u|_6 |\nabla \theta|_3 \leq C |\Delta \theta|_2 |\nabla u|_2 |\nabla \theta|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C |\nabla \theta|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta\|_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{20} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + C |\nabla u|_4^4 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.60}$$

Next, we consider the radiation terms

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11} &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \dot{\theta} N_r dx d\Omega dv \leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{(\dot{u} - u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Omega}{cc_v} S \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (-S_t \theta + S u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + C |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 \|I\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} (\alpha + \alpha + \|\sigma\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv + C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 \\
&\quad + C (|\dot{u}|_6 |\theta|_3 + |u \cdot \nabla u|_2 |\theta|_\infty) \|S\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} \\
&\quad + C (1 + \|u\|_1) (|\theta|_\infty \|S_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; L^2(\mathbb{V}))} + |u \cdot \nabla \theta|_3 \|S\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^2; H^1(\mathbb{V}))}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta dx d\Omega dv \\
&\quad + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + C |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 + C |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + C |u \cdot \nabla u|_2 + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.61}$$

Then combining (6.55)-(6.61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{c_v} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla \theta|^2 - Q(u) \theta + \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta d\Omega dv \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 dx \\
&\leq C (|\nabla u|_4^4 + |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2 + |u \cdot \nabla u|_2^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.62}$$

Now multiplying (6.62) by $2C$, and adding the resulting inequality into (6.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2c_v} |\nabla \theta|^2 - \frac{1}{c_v} Q(u) \theta + \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S \theta d\Omega dv + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\dot{u}|^2 \right) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{V}} (\rho |\dot{\theta}|^2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2) dx \leq C (|\nabla u|_4^4 + |\nabla \theta|_2^2 + |\nabla u|_3^3 + |u \cdot \nabla u|_2^2 + 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.63}$$

Similarly to (6.37), from Hölder's inequality we get

$$|\nabla u|_4^4 + |\nabla u|_3^3 \leq C |\nabla u|_4^4 \leq C (|G|_4^4 + |\omega|_4^4 + 1) \leq C (1 + |(\nabla G, \nabla \omega)|_2^3), \tag{6.64}$$

(6.31), (6.39) and (6.63), we quickly have

$$|\nabla \theta|_2^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{\theta}|_2^2 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2) ds \leq C \int_0^t |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2^3 ds + C. \tag{6.65}$$

According to (6.38), we have

$$|\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2 \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 + |\sqrt{\rho} u \cdot \nabla u|) \leq C (|\sqrt{\rho} \dot{u}|_2 + |\nabla u|_2 + 1) + \epsilon |\sqrt{\rho} u_t|_2. \tag{6.66}$$

Then substituting (6.66) into (6.63), via Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$|\theta(t)|_{D^1}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}(t)|_2^2 + \int_0^t (|\dot{u}|_{D^1}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}|_2^2)dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

which, together with Sobolev imbedding theorem, (6.39), (6.57), (6.66) and

$$\begin{cases} |\operatorname{div} u|_\infty \leq C(|G|_\infty + 1) \leq C(\|G\|_{W^{1,6}} + 1) \leq C(|\nabla u|_6 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + 1), \\ |\omega|_\infty \leq C(\|\omega\|_{W^{1,6}} + 1) \leq C(|\nabla u|_6 + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + 1), \\ |\nabla u|_6 \leq C(|\operatorname{div} u|_6 + |\omega|_6) \leq C(\|G\|_1 + \|\omega\|_1 + 1), \end{cases}$$

implies the desired conclusions for $0 \leq t \leq T$. □

6.3. The higher order estimate for $|(u, \theta)|_{L^\infty([0, T]; D^2(\mathbb{V}))}$.

Lemma 6.5.

$$|\theta(t)|_{D^2} + |\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t(t)|_2 + \int_0^T |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

Proof. Differentiating (1.7)₄ with respect to t , multiplying by θ_t and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} |\nabla \theta_t|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(-\rho_t \left(\frac{\theta_t}{2} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + R\theta \operatorname{div} u \right) - \rho(u_t \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta_t + R\theta_t \operatorname{div} u) \theta_t \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{c_v} P_m \operatorname{div} u_t \theta_t + \frac{1}{c_v} Q(u)_t \theta_t + \frac{1}{c_v} (N_r)_t \theta_t \right) dx \equiv: \sum_{i=12}^{15} M_i + E^*. \end{aligned} \quad (6.67)$$

For M_{12} , via (6.31), (6.39) and Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12} &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} \operatorname{div}(\rho u) \left(\frac{\theta_t}{2} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + R\theta \operatorname{div} u \right) \theta_t dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot \nabla \theta_t \left(\frac{\theta_t}{2} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + R\theta \operatorname{div} u \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot \frac{\nabla \theta_t}{2} \theta_t dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \nabla \theta) \theta_t dx - R \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho u \cdot (\nabla \theta \operatorname{div} u + \theta \nabla \operatorname{div} u) \theta_t dx \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + C|\rho u \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\rho|u|^2 \nabla \theta|_2^2 + C|\rho u \theta \operatorname{div} u|_2^2 + C|\rho \theta_t|_2^2 \\ & \quad + C\|\nabla u\| \|\nabla \theta\|_2^2 + C|u \cdot \nabla \nabla \theta|_2^2 - \frac{R}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta u \cdot (\nabla G + \nabla(R\rho\theta)) \theta_t dx \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa}{10c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + \frac{R^2}{2\mu + \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 \theta^2 (\operatorname{div} u \theta_t + u \cdot \nabla \theta_t) dx + C(1 + |\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2) \\ & \quad + C(|\nabla^2 \theta|_2^2 + |\nabla G|_2^2) \leq C + \frac{\kappa}{10c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + C|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t|_2^2 + C|\nabla^2 \theta|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.68)$$

For M_{13} and M_{14} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{13} &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} -\rho(u_t \cdot \nabla \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta_t + R\theta_t \operatorname{div} u) \theta_t dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta_t (-\dot{u} \cdot \nabla \theta + (u \cdot \nabla) u \cdot \nabla \theta) dx + \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + (|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + 1) |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 \\
&\leq C(|\dot{u}|_6 + |\nabla u|_6) |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 |\nabla \theta|_3 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + (|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + 1) |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{10c_v} |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + C(|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + 1) |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\theta|_{D^2}^2 + C, \\
M_{14} &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} -\frac{R}{c_v} \rho \theta \operatorname{div} \dot{u} \theta_t dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{R}{c_v} \rho \theta \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) \theta_t dx, \\
&\leq C|\rho \theta \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\operatorname{div} \dot{u}|_2^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta |\nabla u|^2 |\theta_t| dx + \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{R}{c_v} \rho \theta \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u dx \\
&\leq C|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\operatorname{div} \dot{u}|_2^2 + C|\nabla u|_4^4 + \frac{R}{(2\mu + \lambda)c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta \theta_t u \cdot \nabla G dx \\
&\quad + \frac{R}{(2\mu + \lambda)c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho^2 \theta \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \theta dx + \frac{R}{(2\mu + \lambda)c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta^2 \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \rho dx \\
&\leq C + C|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\operatorname{div} \dot{u}|_2^2 + \frac{R}{(2\mu + \lambda)c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta^2 \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \rho dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.69}$$

Now we consider the last term in (6.69) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{V}} \rho \theta^2 \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \rho dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho^2 \theta^2 \theta_t \operatorname{div} u + \rho^2 \theta^2 u \cdot \nabla \theta_t dx + 2\rho^2 \theta \theta_t u \cdot \nabla \theta \right) dx \\
&\leq C|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{c_v \kappa (2\mu + \lambda)}{20R} |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2 + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.70}$$

which, together with (6.69), implies that

$$M_{14} \leq C|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\operatorname{div} \dot{u}|_2^2 + C. \tag{6.71}$$

For M_{15} , from Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{15} &= \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{1}{c_v} Q(u)_t \theta_t dx = \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(4\mu D(u) : D(\dot{u}) + 2\lambda \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} \dot{u} \right) \theta_t dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(4\mu D(u) : D(u \cdot \nabla u) \theta + 2\lambda \operatorname{div} u \operatorname{div} (u \cdot \nabla u) \theta \right) dx \\
&\leq C|\nabla \dot{u}|_2 |\nabla u|_3 |\theta_t|_6 + C||\nabla u|^3 \theta_t|_1 + C|\nabla u|_4^4 + \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{10c_v} |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C|\nabla \dot{u}|_2^2 + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.72}$$

Next considering E^* :

$$E^* = \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) (A_r)_t \theta_t + \left(-\frac{u_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \right) A_r \theta_t \right) dx d\Omega dv = \sum_{j=1}^8 G_j.$$

From Hölder's inequality, (1.12) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) S_t \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\ &\leq C(1 + |u|_\infty) |\theta_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S_t|_{L^2} d\Omega dv \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} (|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2) + C, \end{aligned} \quad (6.73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= -\frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\sigma_\theta \theta_t \rho I + \sigma \rho_t I + \sigma \rho I_t) \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\ &= -\frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\sigma_\theta \theta_t \rho I - \operatorname{div}(\rho u) \sigma I + \sigma \rho I_t) \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\ &\leq F_r (1 + |u|_\infty^2) (1 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.74)$$

where

$$F_r = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} (|\sigma_\theta|_\infty |I|_\infty + |\sigma|_\infty (|I_t|_2 + |\nabla I|_2 + |I|_\infty) + |\nabla I|_2 |\sigma|_\infty) d\Omega dv \leq C.$$

Similarly, via the similar argument used for G_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_3 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{v}{v'} \left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\bar{\sigma}_s \rho I'_t + (\bar{\sigma}_s)_t \rho I' + \bar{\sigma}_s \rho_t I') \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\ &\leq G_r (1 + |u|_\infty^2) (1 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2), \\ G_4 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} -\left(1 - \frac{u \cdot \Omega}{c}\right) (\bar{\sigma}'_s \rho I_t + (\bar{\sigma}'_s)_t \rho I + \bar{\sigma}'_s \rho_t I) \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\ &\leq J_r (1 + |u|_\infty^2) (1 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + |\nabla \theta_t|_2^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.75)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_r &= \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} (\bar{\sigma}_s (|I'_t|_2 + |I'|_2 + |\nabla I'|_2 + |I'|_\infty) + |I'|_2 (|\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty + |\nabla \bar{\sigma}_s|_2)) d\mathbb{I}, \\ J_r &= \int_{\mathbb{I}} (\bar{\sigma}_s (|I_t|_2 + |I|_2 + |\nabla I|_2 + |I|_\infty) + |I|_2 (|\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty + |\nabla \bar{\sigma}_s|_2)) d\mathbb{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.76)$$

Finally, we consider the terms G_5 - G_8 :

$$\begin{aligned} G_5 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{-u_t \cdot \Omega}{c} S \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\ &\leq C |u_t|_6 |\theta_t|_6 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |S|_{\frac{3}{2}} d\Omega dv \leq \frac{\kappa}{20c_v} (|\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2) + C |u_t|_{D^1}^2, \\ G_6 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{u_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma_a I \theta_t dx d\Omega dv \\ &\leq C |u_t|_6 |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_0^\infty \int_{S^2} |\sigma|_\infty |I|_3 d\Omega dv \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C |u_t|_{D^1}^2, \\ G_7 &= \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} -\frac{v}{v'} \frac{u_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma_s I' \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \\ &\leq C |u_t|_6 |\rho|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} \frac{v}{v'} |\bar{\sigma}_s|_\infty |I'|_3 d\mathbb{I} \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C |u_t|_{D^1}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.77)$$

$$G_8 = \frac{1}{c_v} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \int_{\mathbb{V}} \frac{u_t \cdot \Omega}{c} \sigma'_s I \theta_t dx d\mathbb{I} \leq C |u_t|_6 |\rho|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2 \int_{\mathbb{I}} |\bar{\sigma}'_s|_{\infty} |I|_3 d\mathbb{I} \leq C |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t|_2^2 + C |u_t|_{D^1}^2. \quad (6.78)$$

Then combining (6.67)-(6.77), via Gronwall's inequality, we quickly have

$$|\theta(t)|_{D^2}^2 + |\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t(t)|_2 + \int_0^T |\theta_t|_{D^1}^2 dt \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T. \quad (6.79)$$

□

Finally, we give the upper bounds of $|(\rho, I)|_{D^{1,q}}$, $|(u, \theta)|_{D^{2,q}}$, $|u_t|_{D^1}^2$ and so on.

Lemma 6.6.

$$|(\rho, I)(t)|_{D^{1,q}} + |(\rho_t, I_t)(t)|_q + |u(t)|_{D^2} + \int_0^T (|u_t|_{D^1}^2 + |(u, \theta)|_{D^{2,q}}^2) dt \leq C,$$

Proof. In the following estimates we will use

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 u|_q &\leq C(|\nabla \rho|_q + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + 1), \quad |\nabla^2 u|_2 \leq C(|\nabla \rho|_2 + 1), \\ |\nabla u|_{\infty} &\leq C(|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + |\omega|_{\infty}) \ln(e + |\nabla^2 u|_q) + C|\nabla u|_2 + C \\ &\leq C(|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + |\omega|_{\infty}) (\ln(e + |\nabla \rho|_q) + \ln(e + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2) + 1), \\ |\theta|_{D^{2,q}} &\leq C(|\nabla \theta_t|_2 + |u|_{D^2} + |\nabla u|_{\infty} |\nabla u|_q + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (6.80)$$

where we have used the equations (1.7)₃-(1.7)₄, Lemmas 3.3 and 6.1-6.5.

First, applying ∇ to (1.7)₂, multiplying the resulting equations by $q|\nabla \rho|^{q-2} \nabla \rho$, and integrating over $\mathbb{V}$, via (6.80) we immediately obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla \rho|_q \leq C |\nabla u|_{\infty} |\nabla \rho|_q + C |\nabla^2 u|_q \leq C(1 + |\nabla u|_{\infty}) |\nabla \rho|_q + C |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + C. \quad (6.81)$$

Via (6.80), (6.81) and notations:

$$f = e + |\nabla \rho|_q, \quad g = 1 + (|\operatorname{div} u|_{\infty} + |\omega|_{\infty}) \ln(e + |\nabla \dot{u}|_2),$$

we quickly have

$$f_t \leq C g f + C f \ln f + C g,$$

which, together with Lemma 6.4 and Gronwall's inequality, implies that

$$\ln f(t) \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

So we obtained the desired estimate for $|\nabla \rho|_q$, and the upper bound of $|\nabla I|_q$ can be deduced easily via the similar argument used in Lemma 4.3. The estimates for ρ_t and I_t can be obtained via equations (1.7). Finally, via (6.80), we only need to check that

$$|\nabla u_t|_2 \leq |\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + |\nabla(u \cdot \nabla u)|_2 \leq C(|\nabla \dot{u}|_2 + 1),$$

which, combining with Lemma 6.4, implies the desired conclusions. □

These estimates will be enough to extend the strong solution (I, ρ, u, θ) beyond $t \geq \bar{T}$.

In truth, via the estimates obtained in Lemmas 6.1-6.6, we quickly know that the functions $(I, \rho, u, \theta)|_{t=\bar{T}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \bar{T}} (I, \rho, u, \theta)$ satisfy the conditions imposed on the initial data (2.2)-(2.3). Therefore, we can take $(I, \rho, u, \theta)|_{t=\bar{T}}$ as the initial data and apply Theorem 2.1 to extend the local solution beyond $t \geq \bar{T}$. This contradicts the assumption on $\bar{T}$.

Acknowledgement: The research of Y. Li and S. Zhu were supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 11231006 and Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai under grant 14ZR1423100. S. Zhu was also supported by China Scholarship Council.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Acquistapace, On BMO regularity for linear elliptic systems, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **161** (1992), 231-269.
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **12** (1959), 623-727.
- [3] T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **94** (1984), 61-66.
- [4] J. Bourguignon and H. Brezis, Remark on Euler equations, *Jour. Func. Anal.*, **15** (1974), 341-363.
- [5] C. Buet and B. Després, Asymptotic analysis of fluid models for the coupling of radiation hydrodynamics, *J. Quant. Spectroscopy Rad. Transf.*, **85** (2004), 385-418.
- [6] Y. Cho, H. Choe and H. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluids, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **83** (2004), 243-275.
- [7] Y. Cho and H. Kim, Existence results for viscous polytropic fluids with vacuum, *J. Differential Equations*, **228** (2006), 377-411.
- [8] B. Ducomet, E. Feireisl and Š. Nečasová, On a model in radiation hydrodynamics, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. (C) Non Line. Anal.*, **6** (2011), 797-812.
- [9] B. Ducomet and Š. Nečasová, Global weak solutions to the 1-D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with radiation, *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, **8** (2010), 23-65.
- [10] B. Ducomet and Š. Nečasová, Large time behavior of the motion of a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional gas coupled to radiation, *Annali di Matematica*, (2010), 219-260.
- [11] E. Feireisl, A. Novotny and H. Petzeltova, On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **3(4)** (2001), 358-392.
- [12] D. Fujiwara and H. Morimoto, An L^r -theorem of the Helmholtz decomposition of vector fields, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **27** (1977), 685-700.
- [13] G. Galdi, *An introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [14] T. Huang, C. Wang and H. Wen, Strong solutions of the compressible nematic liquid crystal flow, *J. Differential Equations*, **252** (2012), 2222-2265.
- [15] X. Huang, J. Li and Z. Xin, Serrin-type criterion for the three dimensional viscous compressible flows, *Siam. J. Math. Anal.* **43** (2011) 1872-1886.
- [16] X. Huang, J. Li and Z. Xin, Global Well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **65** (2012), 549-575.
- [17] X. Huang, J. Li and Z. Xin, Blow-up criterion for the compressible flows with vacuum states, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **301** (2010), 23-35.
- [18] P. Jiang and D. Wang, Formation of singularities of solutions to the three-dimensional Euler-Boltzmann equations in radiation hydrodynamics, *Nonlinearity*, **23** (2010), 809-821.
- [19] S. Jiang and X. Zhong, Local existence and finite-time blow-up in multidimensional radiation hydrodynamics, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **9** (2007), 543-564.
- [20] R. Kippenhahn and A. Weigert, *Stellar structure and Evolution*. Springer: Berlin, Heideberg, 1994.
- [21] Y. Li and S. Zhu, On regular solutions of the 3-D compressible isentropic Euler-Boltzmann equations with vacuum, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems*, (2014), Accepted.
- [22] Y. Li and S. Zhu, Formation of singularities in solutions to the compressible radiation hydrodynamics equations with vacuum, *J. Differential Equations*, **256** (2014), 3943-3980.
- [23] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2. Compressible models*, New York: Oxford University Press, (1998).

- [24] T. Makino, S. Ukai and S. Kawashima, Sur la solution à support compact de equations d'Euler compressible, *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, **33** (1986), 249-257.
- [25] G. Ponce, Remarks on a paper: Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3D Euler equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **98** (1985), 349-353.
- [26] G. Pomraning, *The Equations of Radiation Hydrodynamics*, Oxford: Pergamon. (1973).
- [27] J. Simon, Compact sets in $L^p(0, T; B)$, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **146** (1987), 65-96.
- [28] V. Solonnikov, L^p -estimates for solutions to the initial boundary value problem for the generalized Stokes system in a bounded domain, *J. Math. Sci.*, **105** (5) (2001), 2448-2484.
- [29] E. Stein, *Singular integrals and Differentiability properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton NJ, 1970.
- [30] Y. Sun, C. Wang and Z. Zhang, A Beale-Kato-Majda blow-up criterion to the compressible Navier-Stokes Equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **95** (2011), 36-47.
- [31] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984).
- [32] W. Von Wahl, Estimating ∇u by $\operatorname{div} u$ and $\nabla \times u$, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **15** (1992), 123-143.
- [33] H. Wen and C. Zhu, Blow-up criterions of strong solutions to 3D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum, *Advances in Mathematics*, **248** (2013), 534-572.

(Y. C. Li) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND KEY LAB OF SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING COMPUTING (MOE), SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, P.R.CHINA
E-mail address: `ycli@sjtu.edu.cn`

(S. G. Zhu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, P.R.CHINA; SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA TECH, ATLANTA 30332, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `zhushengguo@sjtu.edu.cn`